Making Branching Programs Oblivious Requires Superlogarithmic Overhead

Paul Beame* Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195-2350 beame@cs.washington.edu

Abstract— We prove a time-space tradeoff lower bound of $T = \Omega\left(n \log\left(\frac{n}{S}\right) \log \log\left(\frac{n}{S}\right)\right)$ for randomized oblivious branching programs to compute 1GAP, also known as the pointer jumping problem, a problem for which there is a simple deterministic time n and space $O(\log n)$ RAM (random access machine) algorithm. We give a similar time-space tradeoff of $T = \Omega\left(n \log\left(\frac{n}{S}\right) \log \log\left(\frac{n}{S}\right)\right)$ for Boolean randomized oblivious branching programs computing GIP-MAP, a variation of the generalized inner product problem that can be computed in time n and space $O(\log^2 n)$ by a deterministic Boolean branching program.

These are also the first lower bounds for randomized oblivious branching programs computing explicit functions that apply for $T = \omega(n \log n)$. They also show that any simulation of general branching programs by randomized oblivious ones requires either a superlogarithmic increase in time or an exponential increase in space.

Keywords-time-space tradeoffs, lower bounds, branching programs, oblivious computation, randomization

1. INTRODUCTION

An algorithm is *oblivious* (sometimes also called *input-oblivious*) if and only if its every operation, operand, as well as the order of those operations is determined independent of its input. Certain models of computation, such as circuits or straight-line programs are inherently oblivious. However, many computing models such as Turing machines and random access machines (RAMs), which use non-oblivious operations such as indirect addressing, are not, though fairly efficient simulations of these general models by their more restricted oblivious variants have been shown [20], [3].

Our main result implies that a superlogarithmic increase in time or an exponential increase in space is necessary to convert a general algorithm to a randomized oblivious one. We derive this separation by considering a very simple problem for deterministic RAM algorithms, the pointer jumping problem of outdegree 1 graph reachability, $1GAP_n$.

Widad Machmouchi* Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195-2350 widad@cs.washington.edu

Our lower bounds apply not only to randomized oblivious RAM algorithms but also to more powerful randomized oblivious branching programs. Branching programs are the natural generalization of decision trees to directed acyclic graphs and simultaneously model time and space for both Turing machines and RAMs: Time is the length of the longest path from the start (source) node to a sink and space is the logarithm of the number of nodes. Our precise results are the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\epsilon < 1/2$. Randomized oblivious branching programs or random access machines computing $1GAP_n$ using time T, space S and with error at most ϵ require $T = \Omega\left(n \log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \log \log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)$.

Since $1GAP_n$ can be computed by a RAM algorithm in time *n* and space $O(\log n)$, which follows the path from vertex 1 maintaining the current vertex and a step counter, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Any method for converting deterministic random access machine algorithms to randomized oblivious algorithms requires either an $n^{1-o(1)}$ factor increase in space or an $\Omega(\log n \log \log n)$ factor increase in time.

The $1GAP_n$ problem has input variables from a linear-sized domain that the RAM can read in one step. Because of this, the lower bound for computing $1GAP_n$ is at most $\Omega(\log \log(n/S))$ larger than the number of its input bits and so is sub-logarithmic for Boolean branching programs. However, we also obtain analogues of the above results for Boolean branching programs computing a variant of the generalized inner product problem that we denote by GIP-MAP.

Deterministic oblivious branching programs have been studied in many contexts. Indeed the much-studied *ordered binary decision diagrams* (or OBDDs) [11] correspond to the special case of deterministic oblivious branching programs that are also *read-once* in that any source–sink path queries each input at most once. Our lower bound approach follows a line of

^{*}Research supported by NSF grant CCF-0830626. Part of this research was done at the Institute for Advanced Study and also supported by NSF grant CCF-0832797.

work based on another reason to consider oblivious algorithms: their behavior is restricted and thus simpler to analyze than that of general algorithms. Alon and Maass [5] showed $T = \Omega(n \log(n/S))$ lower bounds for certain natural Boolean functions on deterministic oblivious branching programs and this lower bound tradeoff was increased by Babai, Nisan, and Szegedy [6] to $T = \Omega(n \log^2(n/S))$ for a different Boolean function based on the generalized inner product. Beame and Vee [10] also used a variant of [6] using generalized inner product to give an $\Omega(\log^2 n)$ factor separation between general branching programs and deterministic oblivious branching programs by proving a $T = \Omega(n \log^2(n/S))$ lower bound for the $1GAP_n$ problem. However, that separation does not apply to the randomized simulations nor to the Boolean branching programs that we consider.

Though a number of time-space tradeoff lower bounds have been proven for natural problems in NP for general deterministic and nondeterministic [9], [1], [2] and randomized [8] computation, all of the lower bounds are sub-logarithmic and, naturally, none can yield a separation between general and randomized oblivious branching programs. Indeed the largest previous lower bounds for solving decision problems on randomized branching programs are of the form T = $\Omega(n \log(n/S))$ which is at most a logarithmic factor larger than the trivial time bound of n. These bounds also apply to randomized read-k branching programs (which roughly generalize oblivious branching programs for related problems) for $k = O(\log n)$ [21]. No prior separations of randomized oblivious computations from general computation have been known.

Our argument uses the well-known connection between oblivious branching programs and (best-partition) communication complexity that is implicit in [5] and first made explicit in the context of multiparty communication complexity in [12], [6]. We make use of the fact that inputs to the $1GAP_n$ problem conveniently encode any function computable by a small branching program.

More precisely, we show that, since the generalized inner product GIP can be computed by a constantwidth read-once branching program, we can convert any oblivious branching programs for $1GAP_n$ to a (partially) oblivious branching program that computes Permuted-GIP which takes as input both a GIP input z and a permutation π to determine how the GIPfunction is applied to z. The permutation allows us to convert the lower bound for GIP in fixed-partition multiparty communication complexity to a best-partition lower bound, reminiscent of a similar conversion in the context of 2-party communication complexity [16]. Though that idea would have been sufficient for the deterministic non-Boolean separations in [10], we need much more here. The key to our argument is a way of extending this idea to a more involved analysis that yields a reduction that works for distributional complexity. Our Boolean lower bounds follow for *GIP-MAP*, a version of *Permuted-GIP* based on pseudo-random permutations.

The questions we consider here were inspired by recent results of Ajtai [3], [4] (and similar results of Damgård, Meldgaard, and Nielsen [13]) who, eliminating cryptographic assumptions from [14], [19], [15], showed efficient simulations of general RAM algorithms by randomized algorithms that are oblivious and succeed with high probability, with only a polylogarithmic factor overhead in both time and space. However, our separations do not apply in the context of their simulations for two reasons. First, their simulations assume that the original RAM algorithm only has sequential access to its input in which case the small space upper bound for $1GAP_n$ does not apply (or alternatively the original RAM algorithm has linear space which a deterministic oblivious branching program can use to compute any function in linear time). Second, our lower bounds apply only to *randomized oblivious* simulations, in which the sequence of locations accessed must be independent of the input but may depend on the random choices, whereas Ajtai's simulations are more general oblivious randomized simulations in that the probability distribution of the sequence of locations accessed is input independent¹.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

Branching programs: Let D be a finite set and n a positive integer. A D-way branching program is a connected directed acyclic graph with special nodes: the source node, the 1-sink node and the 0-sink node, a set of n inputs and one output. Each non-sink node is labeled with an input index and every edge is labeled with a symbol from D, which corresponds to the value of the input in the originating node. The branching program computes a function $f: D^n \to \{0,1\}$ by starting at the source and then proceeding along the nodes of the graph by querying the corresponding inputs and following the corresponding edges. The output is the label of the sink node reached. The time T of a

¹A simple algorithm that flips a random bit r and then chooses which order to read bits x_2 and x_3 based on the value of $x_1 \oplus r$ is oblivious randomized but not randomized oblivious: Each order of reading x_2, x_3 has probability 1/2 independent of the input, but for each fixed value of r, the order of reading x_2, x_3 depends on the value of x_1

branching program is the length of the longest path from the source to a sink and the space S is the logarithm base 2 of the number of the nodes in the branching program. The branching program is *Boolean* if $D = \{0, 1\}$.

A branching program *B* computes a function *f* if for every $x \in D^n$, the output of *B* on *x*, denoted B(x), is equal to f(x). *B* approximates *f* under μ with error at most ϵ iff B(x) = f(x) for all but an ϵ -measure of $x \in$ D^n under distribution μ . (For a probability distribution μ we write $supp(\mu)$ denote its support.)

A branching program is *leveled* if the underlying graph is leveled. For a leveled branching program, the *width* is the maximum number of nodes on any of its levels and thus the space of a width W leveled branching program is at least $\log W$. (We write $\log x$ to denote $\log_2 x$.) A branching program is *read-once* if each input is queried at most once on every path in the program. An *oblivious* branching program is a leveled branching program in which the same input symbol is queried by all the nodes at any given level. A *randomized* oblivious branching program \mathcal{B} is a probability distribution over deterministic oblivious branching programs with the same input set. \mathcal{B} computes a function f with error at most ϵ if for every input $x \in D^n$, $\Pr_{B \sim \mathcal{B}}[B(x) = f(x)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$.

For $I \subseteq [n]$, we also find it useful to define the *I*-time, *I*-size, and *I*-width of a branching program to denote the previous measures where we only count nodes at which variables with indices in *I* are queried. A branching program is *I*-oblivious if it is leveled and any level at which a variable with an index in *I* is queried, the same variable is queried at each node in the level.

Multiparty communication complexity: Let f: $D^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$. Assume that p parties, each having access to a part of the input x, wish to communicate in order to compute f(x). The set [n] is partitioned into p pieces, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_p\}$ such that each party *i* has access to every input whose index in P_i for $j \neq i$. (Because player *i* has access to all of the inputs except for those in set P_i , we can view the set P_i as a set of inputs being written on player i's forehead.) The parties communicate by broadcasting bits to the other players, which can be viewed as writing the bits on a common board, switching turns based on the content of the board. The number-on-forehead (NOF) *multiparty communication complexity* of f with respect to the partition \mathcal{P} , denoted $C_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$, is the minimum total number of bits written. When there is a standard partition of the input into *p*-parties associated with a given function f, we will simply write $C_p(f)$ instead of $C_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$.

Let μ be a probability distribution over D^n . The (μ, ϵ) -distributional communication complexity of f with respect to \mathcal{P} , denoted $D^{\mu}_{\epsilon,\mathcal{P}}(f)$, is the minimum number of bits exchanged in a NOF communication protocol with input partition \mathcal{P} that computes f correctly on a $1 - \epsilon$ fraction of the inputs weighted according to μ . Again, we replace the \mathcal{P} by p when \mathcal{P} is a p-partition that is understood in the context.

Branching Program Complexity and NOF Multiparty communication: Let B be a deterministic Ioblivious branching program of width W computing a function from D^n to $\{0,1\}$. Since B is I-oblivious, it yields a sequence π of queries to the input symbols indexed by I. The following proposition is adapted from [6], [10] for oblivious BPs approximating a function.

Proposition 3. Let $f : D^n \to \{0,1\}$. Let B be a deterministic I-oblivious branching program of width W that approximates f under a probability distribution μ with error at most ϵ . Let \mathcal{P}' be a partition of a subset $I' \subseteq I$ of [n], and let μ be a distribution on D^n that has fixed values for all input variables indexed by [n] - I'. Suppose that the query sequence of B can be written as $s_1 \dots s_r$ such that for each s_i , there is some class $P_{j_i} \in \mathcal{P}'$ whose variables do not appear in s_i . Then for any partition \mathcal{P} that extends \mathcal{P}' to all of $[n], (r-1)\log(W) + 1 \geq D^{\mu}_{\epsilon,\mathcal{P}}(f)$.

Proof: Associate party j with each class P_j of \mathcal{P} and place all input variables in class P_j on the forehead of party j. For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, party j_i simulates B on segment s_i and broadcasts the name of the node of B reached at the end of the segment.

Pointer Jumping and Generalized Inner Product: We consider the out-degree 1 directed graph reachability problem, 1GAP, which is also known as the pointer jumping problem. Define $1GAP_n : [n+1]^n \to \{0,1\}$ such that $1GAP_n(x) = 1$ iff there is a sequence of indices $i_1, 1_2, \ldots, i_\ell$ such that $i_1 = 1, i_\ell = n + 1$ and $x_{i_j} = i_{j+1}$ for all $j = 2, \ldots \ell - 1$. The $1GAP_n$ problem is *s*-*t* connectivity in (n + 1)-vertex directed graphs of out-degree 1, where x_1, \ldots, x_n represent the out-edges of nodes 1 through n, s is 1, and t is n + 1 and vertex n + 1 has a self-loop.

We will relate the complexity of $1GAP_n$ for randomized oblivious branching programs to that of the generalized inner product problem $GIP_{p,n}$ for a suitable value of p. $GIP_{p,n}$: $(\{0,1\}^n)^p \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is given by $GIP_{p,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_p) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \bigwedge_{i=1}^p z_{ij}$. The standard input partition for $GIP_{p,n}$ places each z_i in a separate class. Babai, Nisan, and Szegedy [6] proved that under the uniform distribution the p-party NOF communication complexity of $GIP_{p,n}$ is large. We also will use the fact that $GIP_{p,n}$ can be computed easily by a leveled width 4, oblivious read-once branching program.

3. Reducing Permuted Problems to 1GAP

In order to derive time-space tradeoffs for the 1GAP problem, we will use a reduction from a permuted version of GIP. Since the idea is more general we state the reduction more generally.

Let $f : \{0,1\}^N \to \{0,1\}$ be a Boolean function. Define the promise problem Permuted- $f : \{0,1\}^N \times [N]^N \to \{0,1\}$ by Permuted- $f(z,\pi) = f(z_{\pi(1)}, z_{\pi(2)}, \dots z_{\pi(N)})$ where π is guaranteed to be a permutation.²

Lemma 4. Let n = Nw + 1. If $f : \{0,1\}^N \to \{0,1\}$ is a Boolean function computable by a width w oblivious read-once branching program then there is a reduction g from Permuted-f to $1GAP_n$ mapping (z,π) to x such that the value of each x_i depends on π and at most one bit of z, whose index is independent of π .

Proof: Let B_f be a width-w oblivious read-once branching program computing f. We assume wlog that B_f queries the bits of z in the order z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N ; if not, we can modify the construction below by applying the fixed ordering given by the queries of B_f . Given π , the function *Permuted-f* is computed by a modification of B_f^{π} that replaces each query to z_j in B_f by a query to $z_{\pi(j)}$.

Vertex n+1 for the $1GAP_n$ problem will correspond to the 1-sink of B_f^{π} . Vertex 1 will point to the start node of B_f^{π} and will also be identified with the 0-sink node of B_f^{π} . More precisely, x_1 has value $w * (\pi(1) - 1) + 2$, assuming that the first node in that level is the start node.

Vertices 2 though n will correspond to the nodes of B_f^{π} . For $j \in [N]$ and $k \in [w]$, vertex i = (j-1) * w + k + 1 will correspond to the k-th node of the level in B_f^{π} that queries z_j . Note that, given i, the values j and k are uniquely determined. More precisely, given $i \in [2, n]$, the value of x_i is determined as follows: Determine j and k. Query z_j . Also query³ π to determine $\ell = \pi^{-1}(j)$, the level in B_f^{π} at which z_j is queried. Unless $\ell = N$, the next variable that B_f^{π} will query is $z_{\pi(\ell+1)}$. Suppose that the 0- and 1-outedges from the k-th node at level ℓ in B_f are to the k_0 -th and



Figure 1. A width 4 branching program computing $GIP_{3,4}$.

 k_1 -th nodes at level $\ell + 1$ in B_f respectively; then the value of x_i will be $(\pi(\ell+1)-1)*w+k_{z_j}+1$. Otherwise, set the value of x_i depending on z_j to either 1 or n+1 depending on whether the corresponding edge goes to the 0-sink or 1-sink. Correctness is immediate.

Overloading notation we identify the subset [N] of the 2N indices of *Permuted-f* with z.

Corollary 5. Let n = Nw+1 and $f : \{0,1\}^N \to \{0,1\}$ be a Boolean function computable by a width-w oblivious read-once branching program. If there is a (randomized) oblivious branching program for $1GAP_n$ of time T, space S, and width W, then there is a (randomized) z-oblivious branching program for Permuted-f with z-time T, z-space S, and z-width W.

Proof: Use the reduction g given by Lemma 4 to replace each query to an input x_i of $1GAP_n$ by the single query of z_j depending on i and then query π as given by g. There is one z-query for each x-query. (We do not care that queries to π are efficient.)

The construction shown in Figure 1 is easily generalized to show that we can apply Corollary 5 to $GIP_{p,m}$.

Proposition 6. Let $p, m \ge 0$ and N = mp, There is a width-4 read-once oblivious branching program for $GIP_{p,m}$.

²The function is well-defined even when π is not a permutation. Determining whether or not π is a permutation is precisely the *ELEMENT-DISTINCTNESS* problem [23], [7], [8] with range [N] whose time-space tradeoff complexity is open.

³This is where we need that π is a permutation.

4. TIME-SPACE TRADEOFF LOWER BOUND FOR Permuted-GIP and 1GAP

Following the last section, to derive lower bounds for $1GAP_n$ we consider randomized z-oblivious branching programs for Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$. Since such programs are distributions over deterministic programs, as usual we invoke the easy half of Yao's Lemma [22] to show that it is enough to find a distribution μ such that every ϵ -error deterministic z-oblivious branching program approximating Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ under μ will have a large time-space tradeoff.

The distribution μ we consider is the uniform distribution on the inputs of Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$, i.e. the uniform distribution on all inputs $(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p, \pi) \in$ $(\{0, 1\}^m)^p \times S_{[p] \times [m]}$ where $S_{[p] \times [m]} \cong S_N$ is the set of all permutations of the N = pm inputs to $GIP_{p,m}$. We will write μ as $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{Z} is the uniform distribution on $\{0, 1\}^{pm}$ and \mathcal{U} is the uniform distribution on $S_{[p] \times [m]}$. The random permutation π induces a random partition of the bits of z into blocks of size $p: U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m$ where each U_i contains bits $z_{\pi(1,i)}, z_{\pi(2,i)}, \ldots, z_{\pi(p,i)}$.

We will apply the reduction to communication complexity given by Proposition 3 to obtain our lower bound. To do this we will break the branching program for *Permuted-GIP*_{p,M} into r layers consisting of many time steps and randomly assign each layer to the party that will simulate the layer. The following lemma will be useful in arguing that in the course of this assignment, it is likely that a block of p tuples in the *Permuted-GIP*_{p,m} input distribution can be placed on the foreheads of p different players.

Lemma 7. For every $\delta > 0$, there is a $p_{\delta} > 0$ such that for every integer $p \ge p_{\delta}$ and $d \le \frac{1}{8}p\log p$, the following holds: Let G = (L, R) be a bipartite graph, where |L| = |R| = p. For each $i \in L$, repeat the following process $d_i \le d$ times: independently at random choose a node j from R with probability 1/p and add edge (i, j) if it is not already present. Let H be the graph resulting from subtracting G from $K_{p,p}$. Then H has a perfect matching with probability at least $1 - \delta$. In particular, if $p \ge 69$, this probability is at least 15/16.

Note that Lemma 7 is asymptotically tight with respect to d since, by the standard coupon collector analysis, for any $c > 1/\ln 2$ and $p \ge cp \log p$, the probability that even a single left vertex has a neighbor in H goes to 0 as p goes to infinity. Indeed its proof follows from the fact that below the coupon-collector bound the complement graph is a random bipartite graph of relatively large left degree and hence likely contains

a matching.

Proof: By Hall's theorem we can upper bound the probability that there is no perfect matching in H by the probability that there is some $S \subseteq L$ with $1 \leq |S| \leq p-1$ and $|N(S)| \leq |S|$. (Any witnessing set S' for Hall's Theorem must be non-empty and the case that |S'| = p is included in the probability that there is a set S with $|N(S)| \leq |S| = p - 1$.) Fix $S \subseteq L$, let |S| = s, and fix $T \subseteq R$ such that |T| = s. Now $N(S) \subseteq T$ in H iff every $i \in S$ has an edge to every $j \in R \setminus T$ in the original graph G. (i, j) is not an edge in G if j is not one of the d_i choices for i; thus, we have $\Pr[(i, j)$ is an edge in $G] = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{d_i} \leq 1 - 4^{-d/p} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}}$, since $d_i \leq d \leq \frac{1}{8} p \log p$ and $\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^d \geq 4^{-d/p}$ for $p \geq 2$. For each $j \in R \setminus T$, these events are negatively correlated, hence $\Pr[\forall j \in R \setminus T, (i, j)$ is an edge in $G] \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}}\right)^{p-s}$. Since the choices for each $i \in S$ are independent, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\forall i \in S, \forall j \in R \setminus T, (i, j) \text{ is an edge in } G] \\ \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}}\right)^{s(p-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

By a union bound, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Pr[\exists S \subseteq L, \ T \subseteq R, \ \text{s. t. } |T| = |S| \ \text{and} \ N(S) \subseteq T] \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{s}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{s(p-s)} \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p/2} \binom{p}{s}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{sp/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p-1 \geq s \geq p/2} \binom{p}{s}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{(p-s)p/2} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p/2} \binom{p}{s}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{sp/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq p-s \leq p/2} \binom{p}{p-s}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{(p-s)p/2} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq s \leq p/2} \left[p^2 (1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/4}})^{p/2} \right]^s \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \left[p^2 e^{-p^{3/4}/2} \right]^s \\ &\leq \frac{2p^2 e^{-p^{3/4}/2}}{1 - p^2 e^{-p^{3/4}/2}} \leq \delta \end{split}$$

provided that $p \ge p_{\delta}$, where p_{δ} is a constant such that $\frac{2p_{\delta}^2 e^{-p_{\delta}^{3/4}/2}}{1-p_{\delta}^2 e^{-p_{\delta}^{3/4}/2}} \le \delta.$ For $\delta = \frac{1}{16}$, $p_{\delta} \le 69$. Therefore, H has a perfect matching with probability at least 15/16, for $p \ge 69$.

The following is our main lemma showing that we can convert z-oblivious branching programs approximating Permuted-GIP under the hard distribution μ to an efficient communication protocol for $GIP_{p,m'}$ under the uniform distribution.

Lemma 8. Let p, m > 0 be positive integers and let N = mp. Assume that $69 \le p \le \sqrt{N}/2$ and let $k \le \frac{1}{16}p\log p$. Let B be a z-oblivious branching program of z-length $T \le kN$ and z-width W that approximates Permuted-GIP_{p,m} with error at most ϵ under the probability distribution μ . Then, for $m' = \frac{m}{2^{p+4}}$ and $\epsilon' = \epsilon + e^{-\frac{m}{2^{2p+3}}} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{8}$, under the uniform distribution on inputs there is a deterministic ϵ' -error NOF p-party protocol for GIP_{p,m'} of complexity at most $k^2p^32^{p+3}\log W$.

Proof: Let I = [N] be the set of input positions in z. Since B is z-oblivious we can let s be the sequence of at most kN elements from I that B queries in order. Divide s into r equal segments s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r , each of length $\frac{kN}{r}$, where r will be specified later. Independently assign each segment s_i to a set in A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p with probability 1/p. Denote this probability distribution by \mathcal{A} . Each A_j represents the elements of I that party j will have access to and hence we will place a subset of $I \setminus A_j$ on the forehead of party j. Since the sets $I \setminus A_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$, might overlap, they might not form a partition of I into p sets.

The distribution $\mu = (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{U})$ on *Permuted-GIP*_{p,m} inputs randomly divides the elements of *I* into *m* blocks U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m , each of size *p*. We calculate the probability, under the random assignment of segments to parties and *z*-bits to blocks, that we obtain a relatively large number of blocks such that, for every party *j*, each block contains exactly one *z*-bit not belonging to A_j . We bound the probability that a block has *z*-bits such that:

- (1) they do not occur too frequently in the sequence,
- (2) their assignments to parties are independent, and
- (3) each z-bit can be placed on the forehead of a different party.

Claim 9. Except with probability at most $e^{-m/2^{2p+3}}$ over \mathcal{U} , all bits in at least $m/2^{p+2}$ blocks are read at most 2k times in s.

By Markov's inequality, at least half the z-bits appear

at most 2k times in s. For $\ell \in [m]$,

 $\Pr[$ all z-bits in U_{ℓ} appear at most 2k times in s]

$$\geq (N/2)^{(p)}/(N)^{(p)} = \frac{N/2}{N} \cdots \frac{(N/2 - (p-1))}{(N - (p-1))}$$
$$= 2^{-p} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{N-i}\right) > \frac{1}{2^p} \left(1 - \frac{2p^2}{N}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$$

since $p \leq \sqrt{N}/2$. Hence, the expected number of such blocks is at least $\frac{m}{2p+1}$. Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that the number of blocks for which all z-bits appear at most 2k times in s is at least $\frac{m}{2p+2}$, which is at least half the expected number.

For simplicity here we use a single index i to select the bits of z. Let B_i be the block that z-bit i falls into according to the distribution \mathcal{U} . Let Y be the number of blocks for which all z-bits appear at most 2k times in s. Then $Y_t = \mathbb{E}[Y|B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_t]$ is a Doob's martingale, with $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}[Y] \ge \frac{m}{2^{p+1}}$ and $Y_m = Y$. Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that Y is at least $m/2^{p+2}$. Then, by the Azuma-Hoeffding inequality, we have

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{U}}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}] = \Pr\left[|Y_m - Y_0| \ge \frac{m}{2^{p+2}}\right]$$
$$\le e^{-2\frac{\left(\frac{m}{2^{p+2}}\right)^2}{m}} = e^{-m/2^{2^{p+3}}}$$

Claim 10. Except with probability at most 1/p in U, there are at most $m/2^{p+3}$ blocks in which any two zbits are queried in the same segment.

Let t(i) be the number of segments in which z-bit i appears, and write $i \sim i'$ if z-bits i and i' appear in the same segment at least once. Then $\sum_i t(i) \leq kN$ and the number of i' such that $i \sim i'$ is at most t(i)kN/r. A z-bit i is in a given block U_{ℓ} with probability 1/m and the events that i and i' are mapped there are negatively correlated. Hence for every $\ell \in [m]$, we have

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{U}}[\exists i, i' \in U_{\ell} \text{ such that } i \sim i'] \\ \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{i' \sim i} \frac{1}{m^2} \leq \sum_{i} \frac{t(i)kN}{r} \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{k^2 N^2}{rm^2} = \frac{p^2 k^2}{r}.$$

Setting $r = 8k^2p^32^p$, the expected number of such bad blocks is at most $m/(p2^{p+3})$. Hence, by Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{U}} \left[\text{ \# of blocks with } \sim z\text{-bits} \ge m/2^{p+3} \right] \le 1/p.$$

Let \mathcal{E}_2 be the event that there are at least $m'' = m/2^{p+3}$ blocks such that each z-bit in these blocks is read at most 2k times and no two z-bits in any given block are read in the same segment. Call these blocks good. Then the above claims imply that $\Pr_{\mathcal{U}}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_2}] \leq$

 $e^{-m/2^{2p+3}} + 1/p$. For the remainder we condition on event \mathcal{E}_2 .

Given that a block has z-bits occurring at most 2ktimes in s and no two z-bits appear in the same segment, we calculate the probability over \mathcal{A} that the assignment of segments to parties ensures that each of the z-bits in the block can be placed on the forehead a different party. For such a block U_{ℓ} , we construct a bipartite graph where the left vertices represent the p z-bits in the block and the right vertices represent the p parties. We add an edge (i, j) if z-bit i cannot be assigned to party j, because it is read in a segment in A_j . Observe that each z-bit in block U_{ℓ} can be placed on the forehead of a different party if and only if this graph contains a perfect matching. Since the segments are assigned to the various A_i independently, each with probability 1/p, the resulting distribution on the graph is equivalent to that of the graph H in Lemma 7 with $d = 2k \le \frac{1}{8}p\log p$.

Since $p \ge 69$, we can apply Lemma 7 to say that the probability that the graph associated with block U_{ℓ} does not contain a perfect matching is at most 1/16. By Markov's inequality, the probability, conditioned on \mathcal{E}_2 , that fewer than m''/2 such blocks contain a perfect matching is at most 1/8.

Let $\mathcal{E}_3 \subseteq supp(\mathcal{U}) \times supp(\mathcal{A})$ be the event that there are at least $m' = m/2^{p+4}$ blocks whose z-bits can be placed on the foreheads of different parties. Combining all the above, \mathcal{E}_3 occurs except with probability at most $\epsilon_1 = e^{-\frac{m}{2^{2p+3}}} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{8}$ over the distributions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{A} . There must be some choice of $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_p)$ for which the probability, over the distribution \mathcal{U} on the grouping of blocks, that \mathcal{E}_3 does not occur is at most the average ϵ_1 . We fix such an A.

Since the branching program *B* correctly computes Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ under distribution μ with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$, there must be some choice π of the permutation on the bits of z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p with $(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{E}_3$ such that *B* correctly computes Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ with probability at least $1 - \epsilon - \epsilon_1$ under the distribution μ conditioned on the choice of π . This conditional distribution is now determined entirely by the uniform distribution \mathcal{Z} . Let I', with |I'| = m' be the set of blocks witnessing event \mathcal{E}_3 . By simple averaging there must be some assignment ζ to the blocks not in I' so that *B* correctly computes Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ with probability at least $1 - \epsilon - \epsilon_1$ under distribution μ conditioned on the choice of π and assignment ζ .

By construction, we can create a *p*-partition \mathcal{P}' of the set of pm' bits in the blocks in I' so that each class contains precisely one *z*-bit from each block. We extend \mathcal{P}' to a partition \mathcal{P} of all of [N] by arbitrarily assigning to each class one z-bit of each block not in I'and dividing the N values representing π equally among the parties. Applying Proposition 3 with $r = k^2 p^3 2^{p+3}$, we obtain a deterministic NOF *p*-party protocol of complexity $k^2 p^3 2^{p+3} \log W$ for *Permuted-GIP*_{p,m} with error $\epsilon' = \epsilon + \epsilon_1$ under distribution μ' .

We reduce the communication problem for $GIP_{p,m'}$ under the uniform distribution to that for $1GAP_n$ under μ' by observing that the inputs to the $GIP_{p,m'}$ problem on a uniformly random input can be embedded into the unfixed blocks of the *Permuted-GIP*_{p,m} instances induced by the permutation π given by the distribution μ' .

We now apply the following lower bound for $GIP_{p,m}$ under the uniform distribution.

Proposition 11. [6] $D_{\epsilon,p}^{uniform}(GIP_{p,m})$ is $\Omega(m/4^p + \log(1-2\epsilon)).$

Theorem 12. Let $\epsilon < 1/2$. There is a $p \leq \log(m/S)$ such that if a randomized z-oblivious branching program computes Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ with time T, space S and error at most ϵ , then $T = \Omega\left(N\log(\frac{N}{S})\log\log(\frac{N}{S})\right)$ where N = pm.

Proof: Let \tilde{B} be a z-oblivious randomized branching program computing Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$. By standard probability amplification which increases the width by an additive constant and the time by a constant factor we can assume without loss of generality that the error ϵ of \tilde{B} is < 1/5. Apply Yao's Lemma to \tilde{B} using distribution μ to obtain a deterministic z-oblivious branching program B with the same time and space bounds that computes Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ with error at most ϵ under μ .

Let T = kN and let p be the smallest integer ≥ 69 such that $k \leq \frac{1}{16}p \log p$. If $p \geq \log(N/S)/4$ then the result is immediate so assume without loss of generality that $69 \leq p < \log(N/S)/4$. Let $\epsilon_1 = e^{-\frac{m}{2^{2p+3}}} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{8}$ which is < 1/5 for these values of p.

Since $69 \le p \le \sqrt{N}/2$ we can combine Lemma 8 and Proposition 11 to say that there is a constant Cindependent of N and p such that

$$k^2 p^3 2^{p+3} \log W \ge C(\frac{m'}{4^p} + \log(1 - 2\epsilon')),$$

where $m' = m/2^{p+4} = \frac{N}{p2^{p+4}}$ and $\epsilon' = \epsilon + \epsilon_1 \le 2/5$. Rewriting m' and k in terms of N and p and using $S \ge \log W$, we obtain $Sp^7 \log^2 p \ge C_1 n 4^{-2p}$, for some constant C_1 . Simplifying and taking logarithms, we have $p \ge C_2 \log(\frac{N}{S})$, for some constant C_2 . Since p is the smallest integer ≥ 69 such that $k \le \frac{1}{16}p \log p$, we have $k \ge C_3 \log(\frac{N}{S}) \log \log(\frac{N}{S})$ for some constant C_3 and the theorem follows.

Using Theorem 12 together with Proposition 6 and Corollary 5, we immediately get our claimed time-space tradeoff for randomized oblivious branching programs computing $1GAP_n$.

Corollary 13. Let $\epsilon < 1/2$. If a randomized oblivious branching program computes $1GAP_n$ with time T, space S and error at most ϵ , then $T = \Omega\left(n\log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\log\log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)$.

5. TIME-SPACE TRADEOFF LOWER BOUND FOR RANDOMIZED OBLIVIOUS BOOLEAN BRANCHING PROGRAMS

 $1GAP_n$ requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ bits of input and hence is unsuitable for separations involving Boolean branching programs. As with $1GAP_n$, specifying the permutation in the input to Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$ also requires $\Theta(N \log N)$ bits where N = pm. Instead, we consider GIP-MAP, an extension of $GIP_{p,n/p}$ where the input bits are shuffled by an almost 2p-wise independent permutation and arranges these bits into the p vectors z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p that are the input to $GIP_{p,n/p}$. The key difference is that specifying the permutation requires only $O(p \log n)$ bits.

DEFINITION 1. A set of permutations \mathcal{F} of A with |A| = n is a δ -almost t-wise independent family of permutations iff for every set of t distinct points $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in A$ and for π chosen uniformly from \mathcal{F} , the distribution of $(\pi(a_1), \ldots, \pi(a_t))$ is δ -close to the uniform distribution on sequences of t distinct points from A. It is simply t-wise independent if $\delta = 0$.

For any prime power q, the set of permutations on \mathbb{F}_q given by $\mathcal{F}_{2,q} = \{f_{a,b} \mid a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ where $f_{a,b}(x) = ax + b$ over \mathbb{F}_q is a pairwise independent family of permutations. For t > 2, the family $\mathcal{F}_{t,q}$ consisting of all polynomials of degree t - 1 over \mathbb{F}_q is a *t*-wise independent family of functions but there is no analogous subset of this family that yields a t-wise independent family of permutations. While there are now a number of constructions of almost 2p-wise independent random permutations in the literature, for simplicity we fix a construction of Naor and Reingold [18] based on analyzing variants of Luby and Rackoff's pseudorandom permutation construction that uses Feistel operations [17]. They showed that a simple combination of two copies of each of these two kinds of pseudorandom families yields a family of permutations that is δ -almost t-wise independent and pairwise independent provided t is not too large and δ is not too small.

Let w be an integer and for $\ell = w, 2w$, identify the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\ell}}$ with $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$. The construction uses the *Feistel operator* F_f on 2w bits which maps (x,y) for $x, y \in \{0,1\}^w$ to $(y, f(x) \oplus y)$ where $f : \{0,1\}^w \rightarrow \{0,1\}^w$. Define a family \mathcal{F}_t^w of permutations on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2w}}$ is the set of all functions constructed as follows: For each independent choice of h_1, h_2 from $\mathcal{F}_{2^{2^{2w}}}$ and f_1, f_2 from $\mathcal{F}_{t,2^w}$ define the permutation

$$\pi_{h_1,h_2,f_1,f_2} = h_2^{-1} \circ F_{f_2} \circ F_{f_1} \circ h_1.$$

Observe that 8w + 2tw bits suffice to specify an element of \mathcal{F}_t^w .

Proposition 14. ([18] Corollary 8.1) Let w be an integer and t be an integer. Then \mathcal{F}_t^w is δ -almost t-wise independent family of permutations on \mathbb{F}_{2^2w} for $\delta = t^2/2^w + t^2/2^{2w}$ that also forms a pairwise independent family of permutations.

DEFINITION 2. Let N be a positive integer and $n = 2^{2w}$ be the largest even power of 2 such that $n + \log^2 n \le N$. Let p be a power of 2 such that $2 \le p \le \frac{1}{8} \log n$ (of which the are fewer than $\log \log n$ possibilities).

Define GIP- $MAP_N : \{0,1\}^N \to \{0,1\}$ as follows: We interpret input bits $n + 1, ..., n + \log \log \log n$ as encoding the value $p \leq \frac{1}{8} \log n$ and the next $8w + 4pw \leq \frac{3}{4} \log^2 n$ bits as encoding a permutation π from \mathcal{F}_{2p}^w which we identify with permutation on [n].

$$GIP-MAP_N(x_1x_2...x_n, p, \pi) = GIP_{p,n}(z_1, z_2, ..., z_p)$$

where $z_i = x_{\pi((i-1)n/p+1)} \dots x_{\pi(in/p)}, i = 1, ..., p.$

Proposition 15. GIP- MAP_N is computable by a deterministic Boolean branching program using time N and $O(\log^2 N)$ space.

Proof: The program begins with a full decision tree that first reads the bits of the encoding of p and then the bits encoding π . At each leaf of the tree, the program contains a copy of the width 4 branching program computing $GIP_{p,n/p}$ where variable z_{ij} is replaced by $x_{\pi((i-1)n+j)}$.

We obtain the following time-space tradeoff lower bound for $GIP-MAP_N$.

Theorem 16. Let $\epsilon < 1/2$. Any randomized oblivious Boolean branching program computing GIP-MAP_N with time T, space S and error at most ϵ requires then $T = \Omega \left(N \log(\frac{N}{S}) \log \log(\frac{N}{S}) \right).$

Proof: The proof follows the basic structure of the argument for Permuted- $GIP_{p,m}$, except that we now

fix the p that is part of the input. Let n be given as in the definition of GIP- MAP_N . The δ -almost 2p-wise independence of the permutation ensures that the probability that a block of the permuted $GIP_{p,n/p}$ problem has all its variables accessed at most 2k times is roughly 2^{-p} and that these events are roughly pairwise independent. The pairwise independence of the permutation ensures that two variables in a block are unlikely to be assigned to the same segment.

Let B be a randomized oblvious branching program computing GIP- MAP_N and assume wlog that the error ϵ of \tilde{B} is < 1/5. We can assume wlog that $\log(n/S) \ge 2^{10}$ or the result follows immediately. Otherwise let p be the largest power of 2 such that $p \le \frac{1}{8}\log(n/S)$. Then $p \ge 69$. Let μ_p be the uniform distribution over the input bits to GIP- MAP_N conditioned on the fixed value of p. Apply Yao's Lemma to \tilde{B} using distribution μ_p to obtain a deterministic oblivious branching program B with the same time and space that computes GIP- MAP_N with error at most ϵ under μ_p .

Suppose that the time of $B, T \leq kn$ where $k = \frac{1}{16}p \log p$. Since B is oblivious we can let s be the sequence of at most kn elements from the set of input positions I = [n] that B queries, in order. (We do not include the input positions queried outside of [n] since their values will eventually be fixed.) Divide s into r equal segments s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r , each of length at most $\frac{kn}{r}$, where r will be specified later. Independently assign each segment s_i to a set in A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p with probability 1/p. Denote this probability distribution \mathcal{A} . Each A_j represents the elements of L that party j will have access to and hence we will place a subset of $I \setminus A_j$ on the forehead of party j. Since the sets $L \setminus A_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$, might overlap, they might not form a partition of I into p sets.

The permutation π randomly divides [n] into m = n/p blocks U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m , each of size p where block U_j contains the j^{th} bits of the vectors z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p as given in the definition of GIP- MAP_N . By construction, the distribution of π is δ -almost 2p-wise independent for $\delta = 8p^2/\sqrt{n}$.

We now follow many of the lines of the remainder of the proof of Lemma 8 and give full details where the proofs differ. The key difference in the calculations is that we no longer have a truly random permutation. The parameter n here corresponds to N in the proof of Lemma 8.

As before, we calculate the probability, under the random assignment of segments to parties and elements of [n] to blocks, that we obtain a relatively large number of blocks such that, for every party j, each block

contains exactly one element of [n] not belonging to A_j . To do this, we bound the probability that a block has elements of [n] such that:

- (1) they do not occur too frequently in the sequence,
- (2) their assignments to parties are independent, and
- (3) each element can be placed on the forehead of a different party.

In the proof of Lemma 8, conditioned on (1) and (2), the argument for (3) is independent of the choice of π and depends only on the randomness of the assignment of segments to parties. The proof of (2) depends only on the pairwise independence of π which is guaranteed here by Proposition 14. Only the proof of part (1) needs to be modified substantially.

As before, we first remove all input indices that appear more than 2k times in the sequence s. By Markov's inequality, at least half the input indices appear at most 2k times in s. Let the first n/2 elements of this set be G.

Therefore, for $\ell \in [m]$, let Y_{ℓ} be the indicator function for the event that $U_{\ell} \subset G$. Then since π is δ -almost 2*p*wise independent

$$\Pr_{\mu_p}[Y_{\ell} = 1] = \Pr_{\mu_p}[U_{\ell} \subset G]$$

$$\geq (n/2)(p)/n^{(p)} - \delta$$

$$> 2^{-p} - 2^{-p-1}p^2/n - \delta$$

$$= 2^{-p} - \delta'$$

I

where $\delta' = \delta + 2^{-p-1}p^2/n < 9p^2/\sqrt{n}$. Similarly and more simply, $\Pr_{\mu_p}[Y_{\ell} = 1] \leq 2^{-p} + \delta \leq 2^{-p} + \delta'$. Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the event that the number of blocks for which all elements appear at most 2k times in s is at least $m'' = \frac{n}{p2^{p+1}}$.

We use the second moment method to upper bound $\Pr_{\mu_p}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}]$. Let Y be the number of blocks for which all elements appear in G. Then $Y = \sum_{\ell \in [n/p]} Y_\ell$ and $|\mathbb{E}(Y) - \frac{n}{p2^p}| \leq \frac{n}{p}\delta'$. Since the Y_i are indicator variables $Var(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Y) + \sum_{i \neq j} Cov(Y_i, Y_j)$ where $Cov(Y_i, Y_j) = \Pr[Y_i Y_j = 1] - \Pr[Y_i = 1] \Pr[Y_j = 1]$. Since the outputs of π are δ -almost 2p-wise independent, we have: $\Pr[Y_i Y_j = 1] = \Pr[U_i \cup U_j \subseteq G] \leq 2^{-2p} + \delta \leq 2^{-2p} + \delta'$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Var(Y) &\leq \frac{n}{p} 2^{-p} + \frac{n}{p} \delta' \\ &+ \frac{n}{p} (\frac{n}{p} - 1) [2^{-2p} + \delta' + (2^{-p} - \delta')^2] \\ &= \frac{n}{p} 2^{-p} + \frac{n}{p} \delta' + \frac{n}{p} (\frac{n}{p} - 1) \delta' [1 + 2^{1-p} - \delta'] \\ &\leq \frac{n}{p} 2^{-p} + \frac{2n^2}{p^2} \delta'. \end{aligned}$$

Now \mathcal{E}_1 holds if $Y \ge m'' = \frac{n}{p^{2p+1}} \ge \mathbb{E}(Y) - \frac{n}{p}(2^{-p-1} + \delta')$. So by Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\Pr_{\mu_{p}}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_{1}}] \leq \Pr\left[|Y - \mathbb{E}(Y)| \geq \frac{n}{p}(2^{-p-1} + \delta')\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{Var(Y)}{(\frac{n}{p}(2^{-p-1} + \delta'))^{2}}$$
$$\leq \frac{(n/p)2^{-p} + 2(n/p)^{2}\delta'}{(n/p)^{2}(2^{-p-1} + \delta')^{2}}$$
$$\leq \frac{p2^{p+2}}{n} + 2\delta'$$
$$= \frac{p2^{p+2}}{n} + \frac{18p^{2}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Since $69 \le p \le \frac{1}{8} \log n$, we obtain $\Pr_{\mu_p}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_1}] \le 2^{-3p}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 8 let t(i) be the number of segments in which *i* appears, and write $i \sim i'$ if elements *i* and *i'* appear in the same segment at least once. Then the number of *i'* such that $i \sim i'$ is at most t(i)kn/r and $\sum_i t(i) = kn$. By construction the random permutation π is pairwise independent and hence it maps any two input bits $i \neq i' \in [n]$ to two randomly chosen distinct points in [n]. Therefore the probability that they are both chosen for some block U_j is precisely $p(p-1)/n(n-1) \leq p^2/n^2$. Hence for every $\ell \in [n/p]$, we have

$$\Pr_{\mu_{p}}[\exists i, i' \in U_{\ell} \text{ such that } i \sim i'] \\ \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{i' \sim i} \frac{p^{2}}{n^{2}} = \sum_{i} \frac{t(i)kn}{r} \frac{p^{2}}{n^{2}} = \frac{k^{2}p^{2}n^{2}}{rn^{2}} = \frac{k^{2}p^{2}}{r}.$$

 $k^2 p^3 2^{p+2}$, the expected number Setting r = at blocks is of such most $\frac{n}{n^2 2p+2}$ m''/(2p).Hence, by Markov's inequality, \Pr_{μ_n} [the number of blocks with ~ tuples $\geq m''/2$] \leq $\frac{1}{p}$. Let \mathcal{E}_2 be the event that there are at least $\frac{m''}{2} = \frac{n}{p2^{p+2}}$ blocks such that each bit in these blocks is read at most 2k times and no two bits in any block are read in the same segment. Then $\Pr_{\mu_p}[\overline{\mathcal{E}_2}] \leq 2^{-3p} + 1/p$.

Conditioned on the event \mathcal{E}_2 , the probability that the number of blocks among the m' = m''/2 blocks guaranteed by \mathcal{E}_2 for which elements that can be placed on the forehead of the *p* different parties is independent of the choice of π and depends only on the assignment \mathcal{A} . By the same calculation as that of Lemma 8 with the value of m'' here, except with a probability of 1/8, conditioned on \mathcal{E}_2 , there are at least $m' = \frac{n}{8p2p}$ blocks whose elements can be placed on the foreheads of different parties. Let \mathcal{E}_3 be the probability over the joint distribution of μ_p and \mathcal{A} that there are at least m' such blocks. The $\Pr[\overline{\mathcal{E}_3}]$ is at most $\epsilon_1 = 2^{-3p} + 1/p + 1/8$. There must be some choice of $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_p)$ for which the probability, over the distribution μ_p on the grouping of blocks, that \mathcal{E}_3 does not occur is at most the average ϵ_1 . We fix such an A.

Since the branching program *B* correctly computes GIP- MAP_N under distribution μ_p with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$, there must some choice π of the permutation that groups the elements of [n] into blocks with $(\pi, A) \in \mathcal{E}_3$ such that *B* correctly computes GIP- MAP_N with probability at least $1 - \epsilon - \epsilon_1$ under the distribution μ_p conditioned on the choice of π . (This conditional distribution is now determined entirely by the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$.)

Let I', with |I'| = m' be the set of blocks witnessing event \mathcal{E}_3 . By averaging there must be some assignment ζ to the blocks not in I' so that B correctly computes $GIP-MAP_N$ with probability at least $1 - \epsilon - \epsilon_1$ under distribution μ conditioned on the choice of the permutation π and assignment ζ . Let μ' be this conditional distribution which is uniform on the inputs appearing in the blocks of I'. As in the proof of Lemma 8 we can use the branching program B to obtain a deterministic p-party communication protocol of complexity at most $rS = k^2 p^3 2^{p+2}S$ that computes $GIP_{p,m'}$ with the standard input partition for a uniformly random input in $\{0, 1\}^{pm'}$ with error at most $\epsilon' = \epsilon + \epsilon_1 < 2/5$.

Hence, by Proposition 11, there is an absolute constant C such that $k^2p^32^{p+2}S \ge \frac{Cm'}{4^p} = \frac{Cn}{8p2^{3p}}$. Since $k = \frac{1}{16}p\log p$, we obtain $2^{4p}p^6\log^2 p \ge 8Cn/S$ which contradicts the assumption that p is the largest power of 2 smaller than $\frac{1}{8}\log(n/S)$ for n/S sufficiently large.

Our only hypothesis was that $T \leq kn$ so we must have $T > kn = \frac{1}{16}np\log p$ which is at least $cn\log(n/S)\log\log(n/S))$ for some constant c > 0. Since n is $\Theta(N)$, the theorem follows.

6. DISCUSSION

Our results apply to randomized oblivious algorithms and are the largest explicit time-space tradeoff lower bounds known for randomized non-uniform branching programs. However, it would be interesting to extend these bounds to more powerful classes of randomized branching programs, in particular oblivious randomized ones where the probability distribution on the input sequence is independent of the input. We conjecture that $1GAP_n$ is also hard for this stronger oblivious randomized model. It is important to note that if we applied Yao's Lemma directly on this model then we would lose the requirement of obliviousness when the randomness is fixed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to Russell Impagliazzo for helping us to clarify the difference between randomized oblivious and oblivious randomized branching programs and for suggesting the approach for separations for Boolean branching programs based on pseudorandom permutations of the generalized inner product.

REFERENCES

- M. Ajtai, "Determinism versus non-determinism for linear time RAMs with memory restrictions," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 2–37, Aug. 2002.
- [2] —, "A non-linear time lower bound for Boolean branching programs," *Theory of Computing*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 149–176, 2005.
- [3] —, "Oblivious RAMs without cryptographic assumptions," in *Proceedings of the Forty-Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, Cambridge, Ma, Jun. 2010, pp. 181–190.
- [4] —, "Oblivious RAMs without cryptographic assumptions," Electronic Colloquium in Computation Complexity, http://www.eccc.uni-trier.de/eccc/, Tech. Rep. TR10-028, 2010.
- [5] N. Alon and W. Maass, "Meanders and their applications in lower bounds arguments," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 37, pp. 118–129, 1988.
- [6] L. Babai, N. Nisan, and M. Szegedy, "Multiparty protocols, pseudorandom generators for logspace, and timespace trade-offs," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 204–232, Oct. 1992.
- [7] P. Beame, "A general time-space tradeoff for finding unique elements," *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 270–277, 1991.
- [8] P. Beame, M. Saks, X. Sun, and E. Vee, "Time-space trade-off lower bounds for randomized computation of decision problems," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 154–195, 2003.
- [9] P. Beame, T. S. Jayram, and M. Saks, "Time-space tradeoffs for branching programs," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 542–572, Dec. 2001.
- [10] P. Beame and E. Vee, "Time-space tradeoffs, multiparty communication complexity, and nearest-neighbor problems," in *Proceedings of the Thirty-Fourth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, May 2002, pp. 688–697.
- [11] R. E. Bryant, "Symbolic Boolean manipulation with ordered binary decision diagrams," ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 283–316, 1992.
- [12] A. K. Chandra, M. L. Furst, and R. J. Lipton, "Multiparty protocols," in *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, Boston, MA, Apr. 1983, pp. 94–99.
- [13] I. Damgård, S. Meldgaard, and J. B. Nielsen, "Perfectly secure oblivious RAM without random oracles," Cryptology ePrint Archive, Tech. Rep. 2010/108, 2010.

- [14] O. Goldreich, "Towards a theory of software protection and simulation by oblivious RAMs," in *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, New York, NY, May 1987, pp. 182–194.
- [15] O. Goldreich and R. Ostrovsky, "Software protection and simulation on oblivious RAMs," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 431–473, 1996.
- [16] T. W. Lam and W. L. Ruzzo, "Results on communication complexity classes," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 324–342, Apr. 1992.
- [17] M. Luby and C. Rackoff, "How to construct pseudorandom permutations from pseudorandom functions," *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 373–386, 1988.
- [18] M. Naor and O. Reingold, "On the construction of pseudorandom permutations: Luby-rackoff revisited," J. Cryptology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 29–66, 1999.
- [19] R. Ostrovsky, "Efficient computation on oblivious RAMs," in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual* ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Baltimore, MD, May 1990, pp. 514–523.
- [20] N. J. Pippenger and M. J. Fischer, "Relations among complexity measures," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 361–381, Apr. 1979.
- [21] M. Sauerhoff, "A lower bound for randomized read-ktimes branching programs," in (STACS) 98: 15th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1373. Paris, France: Springer-Verlag, Feb. 1998, pp. 105–115.
- [22] A. C. Yao, "Probabilistic computations: Toward a unified measure of complexity," in *18th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*. Providence, RI: IEEE, Oct. 1977, pp. 222–227.
- [23] —, "Near-optimal time-space tradeoff for element distinctness," in 29th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. White Plains, NY: IEEE, Oct. 1988, pp. 91–97.