# Towards Reproducible and Reusable Deep Learning Systems Research Artifacts

**Thierry Moreau<sup>1</sup>, Anton Lokhmotov<sup>2</sup>, Grigori Fursin<sup>2,3</sup>** <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, USA, <sup>2</sup>dividiti, UK, <sup>3</sup>cTuning foundation, France

### Abstract

This paper discusses results and insights from the 1st ReQuEST workshop, a col-1 lective effort to promote reusability, portability and reproducibility of deep learning 2 3 research artifacts within the Architecture/PL/Systems communities. ReQuEST (Reproducible Quality-Efficient Systems Tournament) exploits the open-source 4 Collective Knowledge framework (CK) to unify benchmarking, optimization, and 5 co-design of deep learning systems implementations and exchange results via a 6 live multi-objective scoreboard. Systems evaluated under ReQuEST are diverse 7 and include an FPGA-based accelerator, optimized deep learning libraries for x86 8 and ARM systems, and distributed inference in Amazon Cloud and over a cluster 9 of Raspberry Pis. We finally discuss limitations to our approach, and how we plan 10 improve upon those limitations for the upcoming SysML artifact evaluation effort. 11

### 12 1 ReQuEST Overview

The quest to continually optimize deep learning systems has introduced new deep learning models, 13 frameworks, DSLs, libraries, compilers and hardware architectures. In this frantically changing 14 environment, is has become critical to quickly reproduce, deploy, and build on top of existing research. 15 While open-sourcing research artifacts is one step in the right direction, it is not sufficient to guarantee 16 ease of *reproducibility* and *reusability*. To enable reproducible and reusable research, we need to 17 provide complete, customizable, and portable *workflows* that combine off-the-shelf and custom layers 18 of the system stack and deploys them in a push-button fashion to generate end-to-end metrics of 19 importance. 20

In an effort to promote reproducible, reusable, and portable workflows in deep learning systems 21 research, we introduced the ReQuEST workshop at the ACM ASPLOS 2018 (for multidisciplinary 22 systems research spanning computer architecture and hardware, programming languages and compil-23 ers, operating systems and networking). The goal was to have computer architects, compilers, and 24 systems researchers submit deep learning research artifacts (code, data, and experiments) using a 25 unified Collective Knowledge (CK) workflow framework Fursin et al. (2016) to produce a multi-26 objective scoreboard that would rank submissions under varied cost metrics that include: ImageNet 27 validation (50,000 images), latency (seconds per image), throughput (images per second), platform 28 price (dollars), and peak power consumption (Watts). To keep the task of collecting artifacts tractable, 29 we focused on a single problem: ImageNet classification, but gave complete freedom over what 30 models, frameworks, libraries, compilers and hardware platforms were being used to solve the 31 classification problem. 32

The most important difference of ReQuEST from other related workshops and tournaments such as DawnBench daw (2018) and LPIRC lpi (2015) is that we not only publish final results but also share portable and customizable workflows (i.e. not just Docker images) with all related research components (models, data sets, libraries) to let the community immediately reuse, improve, and build

37 upon them.



Invite the ML systems community to share components and search for Pareto-optimal solutions

Figure 1: We leverage the open Collective Knowledge workflow framework (CK) and the rigorous ACM artifact evaluation methodology (AE) to allow the community collaboratively explore quality vs. efficiency trade-offs for rapidly evolving workloads across diverse systems.

The first iteration of the ReQuEST workshop led to five artifact submissions that were unified under 38 the CK framework and evaluated (reproduced) by the organizers. What the submissions lacked in 39 quantity, they made up for in terms of diversity: (1) submissions spanned architecture, compilers, 40

and systems research, (2) utilized x86, ARM, and FPGA-based platforms; and (3) were deployed on 41

single-node systems as well as distributed nodes. 42

#### **Unifying Artifacts and Workflows with CK** 2 43

ReQuEST aims to promote reproducibility of experimental results and reusability/customization of 44 systems research artifacts by standardizing evaluation methodologies and facilitating the deployment 45 of efficient solutions on heterogeneous platforms. For that reason, packaging artifacts (scripts, 46 libraries, frameworks, data sets, models) and experimental results requires a bit more involvement 47 than sharing some CSV/JSON files or checking out a given GitHub repository. That is why we 48 build our competition on top of CK Fursin et al. (2016) to provide unified evaluation and a real-time 49 leader-board of submissions. CK is an open-source portable workflow framework, used as standard 50 ACM artifact evaluation methodology from ACM and IEEE systems conferences (CGO, PPoPP, 51 PACT, SuperComputing). 52

CK works a Python wrapper framework to help users share their code and data as customizable and 53 reusable plugins with a common JSON API, meta description and an integrated package manager, 54 adaptable to a user platform with Linux, Windows, MacOS and Android. Researchers can then 55 quickly prototype experimental workflows from shared components, crowdsource benchmarking and 56 autotuning across diverse models, data sets and platforms, exchange results via public scoreboards, 57 and generate interactive reports ck- (2018). 58

#### 3 **Artifact Submissions Overview** 59

The ReQuEST-ASPLOS'18 proceedings, available in the ACM Digital Library, include five papers 60 with Artifact Appendices and a set of ACM reproducibility badges. 61

The CK repository for all ReQuEST-ASPLOS'18 artifacts are documented and available at the fol-62

lowing link: https://github.com/ctuning/ck-request-asplos18-results. The interactive live scoreboard 63

can be accessed under the followig URL: http://cKnowledge.org/request-results. The proceed-64

ings are accompanied by snapshots of Collective Knowledge workflows covering a very diverse 65 model/software/hardware stack: 66

67

• Models: MobileNets, ResNet-18, ResNet-50, Inception-v3, VGG16, AlexNet, SSD.

• Data types: 8-bit integer, 16-bit floating-point (half), 32-bit floating-point (float).

	• •	e -	01	01	
69	AI frameworks	s and libraries: MXN	Net, TensorFlow, Caf	fe, Keras, Arm Compute L	library,
70	cuDNN, TVM,	NNVM.			
71	• Platforms: Xil	inx Pynq-Z1 FPGA,	Arm Cortex CPUs a	and Arm Mali GPGPUs (	Linaro
72	HiKev960 and T	-Firefly RK3399) a f	arm of Raspherry Pi (	levices NVIDIA Jetson TX	X1 and

73 TX2, and Intel Xeon servers in Amazon Web Services, Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure.

The community can now access all the above CK workflows under permissive licenses and continue 74 collaborating on them via dedicated ReQuEST'18 GitHub projects. First, the workflows can be 75 automatically adapted to new platforms and environments by either detecting already installed 76 dependencies (e.g. libraries) or rebuilding dependencies via an integrated package manager supporting 77 Linux, Windows, MacOS and Android. Second, the workflows can be customized by swapping in 78 new models, data sets, frameworks, libraries, and so on. Third, the workflows can be extended to 79 expose new design and optimization choices (e.g. quantization), as well as evaluation metrics (e.g. 80 power or memory consumption). Finally, the workflows can be used for collaborative autotuning 81 ("crowd-tuning") to explore huge optimization spaces using devices such as Android phones and 82 tablets, with best solutions being made available to the community on the online CK scoreboard. 83

### **4 Lessons Learned and Future Work**

Our overwhelmingly positive experience has also allowed us to critically assess limitations to the 85 scalability to our approach. Fair competitive benchmarking between different platforms, frameworks, 86 87 and models is hard work. It requires carefully considering model equivalence (e.g. performing the same mix of operations), input equivalence (e.g. preprocessing the inputs in the same way), 88 output equivalence (e.g. validating the outputs for each input, not just calculating the usual aggregate 89 accuracy score), etc. Formalizing the benchmarking requirements and encapsulating them in shared 90 CK components (e.g. using a framework-independent model representation such as ONNX) and 91 workflows (e.g. for input conversion and output validation), should help standardize and automate the 92 benchmarking process. 93

Thorough artifact evaluation can take several person-weeks. Each submitted workflow needs to 94 be studied in detail in its original form and then converted into a common format. However, the 95 more reusable CK components (such as workflows, modules/plugins, packages) are shared by 96 the community, the easier the conversion becomes. For example, we have successfully reused 97 several previously shared components for models, frameworks and libraries, as well as the universal 98 CK workflow for program benchmarking and autotuning. We propose to introduce a new ACM 99 reproducibility badge for such unified "plug&play" components. This could eventually lead to 100 creating a "marketplace" for Pareto-efficient implementations (code and data) shared as portable, 101 customizable and reusable CK components. 102

Finally, full experimental evaluation can take many days/weeks. The AE committee can collaborate 103 with the authors to determine a *minimally useful scope* for evaluation which would still provide 104 insights to the community. The community can eventually crowdsource full evaluation. In other 105 words, AE can be "staged" with a quick check that the artifacts are "functional" before the camera-106 ready deadline followed by full evaluation using the ReQuEST methodology. In fact, ReQuEST 107 can grow into a non-profit service to conferences and journals. Sponsorship should help attract 108 experienced full-time evaluators, as well as part-time volunteers, to work on unifying and evaluating 109 artifacts and workflows. 110

**Future Work** Our experience at ReQuEST-ASPLOS'18 will be repurposed to organize SysML's 111 AE, but at a larger scale. Our long-term vision is to dramatically reduce the complexity and costs of 112 the development and deployment of AI, ML, and other emerging workloads. We believe that having 113 an open repository (marketplace) of customizable workflows with reusable components helps to 114 bring together the multidisciplinary community to collaboratively co-design, optimize, and autotune 115 computer systems across the full model/software/hardware stack. Systems integrators will also 116 benefit from being able to assemble complete solutions by adapting such reusable components to 117 their specific usage scenarios, requirements, and constraints. We envision that our community-driven 118 approach and decentralized marketplace will help accelerate adoption and technology transfer of 119 novel AI/ML techniques similar to the open-source movement. 120

## 121 References

- LPIRC: low-power image recognition challenge. https://rebootingcomputing.ieee.org/ lpirc, 2015.
- Industrial and academic use-cases of Collective Knowledge. http://cKnowledge.org/partners.
  html, 2018.
- An end-to-end deep learning benchmark and competition image classification. https://dawn.cs.
  stanford.edu/benchmark/, 2018.
- <sup>128</sup> Fursin, G., Lokhmotov, A., and Plowman, E. Collective Knowledge: towards R&D sustainability.
- 129 In Proceedings of the Conference on Design, Automation and Test in Europe (DATE'16), March
- 130 2016. URL https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304010295\_Collective\_
- 131 Knowledge\_Towards\_RD\_Sustainability.