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Victory Lap

- A victory lap is an extra trip around the track by the exhausted victors
  - A great way to soak in the highlights of the race
  - Very different from final-exam review (do that!)
  - Very different from course evaluations (do that too – for me and Harvard!)

- Review course goals and themes
  - Did we succeed according to lecture 1 and the syllabus?

- What didn’t we have time for?

- What is any of this good for?
Here are three slides verbatim from lecture 1...
Programming-language concepts

Focus on *semantic* concepts:

What do programs mean (do/compute/produce/represent)?

How to define a language *precisely*?

English is a poor *metalanguage*

Aspects of meaning:

- equivalence, termination, determinism, type, . . .

This course does *not* give superficial exposure to *N* weird PLs

- More like CS121 than CS51, but not really like either
- But it will help you learn new languages via foundations
Is this Really about PL?

Building a rigorous and precise model is a hallmark of deep understanding.

The value of a model is in its:
- Fidelity
- Convenience for establishing (proving) properties
- Revealing alternatives and design decisions
- Ability to communicate ideas concisely

Why we mostly do it for programming languages:
- Elegant things we all use
- Remarkably complicated (need rigor)

I believe this “theory” makes you a better computer scientist
- Focus on the model-building, not just the PL features
Course goals

1. Learn intellectual tools for describing program behavior

2. Investigate concepts essential to most languages
   - mutation and iteration
   - scope and functions
   - types
   - objects
   - threads

3. Write programs to “connect theory with the code”

4. Sketch applicability to “real” languages

5. Provide background for current PL research
   (less important for most of you)
Some common themes

- Interpretation versus compilation
- Preservation and progress
- Determinism or lack thereof (particularly with inference rules)
- Encodings (to show expressive power)
- Functions (a great operational foundation)
- Types (a logical foundation for enforcing structured invariants)
Tons more to learn

Off the top of my head...
- Macros
- Process calculi for concurrency
- Languages for distributed computing
- “Real” denotational semantics
- Formal verification of full correctness
- Abstract interpretation / dataflow analysis
- Monads (beyond just the IO Monad)
- Type classes
- Module systems and foundations thereof
- and more

... but at least you’ll always remember Curry-Howard, right? :-)
Hi Dan,

Long time, no see ;) I figured I’d drop you a line about the latest project I’ve been working on for a few months: [snip] Finally, a chance to apply my hard-won 505 knowledge to something out here in the so-called "real world." I even had to pull out the Pierce book at one point.

(A language for querying streams of real-time data on top of Hadoop)
Unsolicited testimonials (March 2011)

I’m writing up the proof that my selector-intersection function is Correct and Total:

...

And lo and behold, my algorithm isn’t total. Two of the cases fell through—once I rewrote the cases to be in the form needed for the induction, it became obvious that they were false. Fortunately, it’s easily fixable... but I’ll have to go redo the performance calculations now (there are more cases than there were before...)

(An algorithm for deciding if there exist HTML trees for which two CSS selectors apply to the same nodes)
I’m not sure if you remember me, but I took your programming languages course a year or two ago...

Today I had to do some work with a minimal browser shell around [snip], and found that I didn’t have my usual Javascript debugging tools. So I tried to write a small "immediate window" for Javascript so I could conveniently execute commands. I started off knowing I’d probably use some eval(), but only a little while in, I realized the naive approach wasn’t going to work because eval() does its evaluation in the current context...

I eventually got it to work using some eval tricks and some closure tricks. I am 100% sure that if I had not taken your mind-bending class, there’s no way I could have figured this out...
Hi Dan,

I just came across continuations by accident while I was looking at comparisons of lua with other languages. I completely forgot we had gone over those in your class, and am beating myself up for not using them *ALL THE TIME* in my code - they are awesome! Why are languages the coolest?!
This class has changed the way I think about programming - even if I don’t get to use all of the concepts we explored in OCaml (I work in C++ most of the time), understanding more of the theory makes a tremendous difference to how I go about solving a problem.
My interpretation

What I think these testimonials are hinting at:

- Languages follow guiding principles you have now seen
- You can use these principles to make software better
- An education gives you “muscle memory” in surprising ways
- Computer science is fun (better than being a dog)
A personal thanks

- I have had a great time visiting

- And I appreciate you taking the risk of a “weird” class from “someone not from around here”
  - Even if this room was sooooo far away

- Come to UW for graduate school!
  - Or Seattle for a software career — Microsoft, Google, Amazon, Facebook, and many, many, more startups, etc.

- Stay in touch!