

Type Safety for STLC with Constants

CS152, Spring 2011

Most of this is available in the slides. However, it can help to see it all in one place.

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= c \mid \lambda x. e \mid x \mid e e \\ v &::= c \mid \lambda x. e \\ \tau &::= \text{int} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \\ \Gamma &::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x:\tau \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation Rules (a.k.a. Dynamic Semantics)

$$\boxed{e \rightarrow e'}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{E-APPLY} & \text{E-APP1} & \text{E-APP2} \\ \frac{}{(\lambda x. e) v \rightarrow e[v/x]} & \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2} & \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{v e_2 \rightarrow v e'_2} \end{array}$$

Typing Rules (a.k.a. Static Semantics)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{T-CONST} & \text{T-VAR} & \text{T-FUN} \\ \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}} & \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} & \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 \quad x \notin \text{Dom}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \\ & \text{T-APP} & \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1} & \end{array}$$

Type Soundness

Theorem (Type Soundness). *If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \rightarrow^* e'$, then either e' is a value or there exists an e'' such that $e' \rightarrow e''$.*

Proof

The Type Soundness Theorem follows as a simple corollary to the Progress and Preservation Theorems stated and proven below: Given the Preservation Theorem, a trivial induction on the number of steps taken to reach e' from e establishes that $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$. Then the Progress Theorem ensures e' is a value or can step to some e'' .

We need the following lemma for our proof of Progress, below.

Lemma (Canonical Forms). *If $\cdot \vdash v : \tau$, then*

i If τ is `int`, then v is a constant, i.e., some c .

ii If τ is $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, then v is a lambda, i.e., $\lambda x. e$ for some x and e .

Canonical Forms. The proof is by inspection of the typing rules.

i If τ is `int`, then the only rule which lets us give a value this type is T-CONST.

ii If τ is $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, then the only rule which lets us give a value this type is T-FUN.

□

Theorem (Progress). *If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$, then either e is a value or there exists some e' such that $e \rightarrow e'$.*

Progress. The proof is by induction on (the height of) the derivation of $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$, proceeding by cases on the bottommost rule used in the derivation.

T-CONST e is a constant, which is a value, so we are done.

T-VAR Impossible, as Γ is \cdot .

T-FUN e is $\lambda x. e'$, which is a value, so we are done.

T-APP e is $e_1 e_2$.

By inversion, $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ and $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$.

If e_1 is not a value, then $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ and the induction hypothesis ensures $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$ for some e'_1 . Therefore, by E-APP1, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2$.

Else e_1 is a value. If e_2 is not a value, then $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$ and our induction hypothesis ensures $e_2 \rightarrow e'_2$ for some e'_2 . Therefore, by E-APP2, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e_1 e'_2$.

Else e_1 and e_2 are values. Then $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ and the Canonical Forms Lemma ensures e_1 is some $\lambda x. e'$. And $(\lambda x. e') e_2 \rightarrow e'[e_2/x]$ by E-APPLY, so $e_1 e_2$ can take a step.

□

We will need the following lemma for our proof of Preservation, below. Actually, in the proof of Preservation, we need only a Substitution Lemma where Γ is \cdot , but proving the Substitution Lemma itself requires the stronger induction hypothesis using any Γ .

Lemma (Substitution). *If $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$, then $\Gamma \vdash e[e'/x] : \tau$.*

To prove this lemma, we will need the following two technical lemmas, which we will assume without proof (they're not that difficult).

Lemma (Weakening). *If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Dom}(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e : \tau$.*

Lemma (Exchange). *If $\Gamma, x:\tau_1, y:\tau_2 \vdash e : \tau$ and $y \neq x$, then $\Gamma, y:\tau_2, x:\tau_1 \vdash e : \tau$.*

Now we prove Substitution.

Substitution. The proof is by induction on the derivation of $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e : \tau$. There are four cases. In all cases, we know $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$ by assumption.

T-CONST e is c , so $c[e'/x]$ is c . By **T-CONST**, $\Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}$.

T-VAR e is y and $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash y : \tau$.

If $y \neq x$, then $y[e'/x]$ is y . By inversion on the typing rule, we know that $(\Gamma, x:\tau')(y) = \tau$. Since $y \neq x$, we know that $\Gamma(y) = \tau$. So by **T-VAR**, $\Gamma \vdash y : \tau$.

If $y = x$, then $y[e'/x]$ is e' . $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash x : \tau$, so by inversion, $(\Gamma, x:\tau')(x) = \tau$, so $\tau = \tau'$. We know $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$, which is exactly what we need.

T-APP e is $e_1 e_2$, so $e[e'/x]$ is $(e_1[e'/x]) (e_2[e'/x])$.

We know $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1$, so, by inversion on the typing rule, we know $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ and $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$ for some τ_2 .

Therefore, by induction, $\Gamma \vdash e_1[e'/x] : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2[e'/x] : \tau_2$.

Given these, **T-APP** lets us derive $\Gamma \vdash (e_1[e'/x]) (e_2[e'/x]) : \tau_1$.

So by the definition of substitution $\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2)[e'/x] : \tau_1$.

T-FUN e is $\lambda y. e_b$, so $e[e'/x]$ is $\lambda y. (e_b[e'/x])$.

We can α -convert $\lambda y. e_b$ to ensure $y \notin \text{Dom}(\Gamma)$ and $y \neq x$.

We know $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash \lambda y. e_b : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, so, by inversion on the typing rule, we know $\Gamma, x:\tau', y:\tau_1 \vdash e_b : \tau_2$.

By Exchange, we know that $\Gamma, y:\tau_1, x:\tau' \vdash e_b : \tau_2$.

By Weakening, we know that $\Gamma, y:\tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau'$.

We have rearranged the two typing judgments so that our induction hypothesis applies (using $\Gamma, y:\tau_1$ for the typing context called Γ in the statement of the lemma), so, by induction, $\Gamma, y:\tau_1 \vdash e_b[e'/x] : \tau_2$.

Given this, T-FUN lets us derive $\Gamma \vdash \lambda y. e_b[e'/x] : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

So by the definition of substitution, $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda y. e_b)[e'/x] : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

□

Theorem (Preservation). *If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$.*

Preservation. The proof is by induction on the derivation of $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$. There are four cases.

T-CONST e is c . This case is impossible, as there is no e' such that $c \rightarrow e'$.

T-VAR e is x . This case is impossible, as x cannot be typechecked under the empty context.

T-FUN e is $\lambda x. e_b$. This case is impossible, as there is no e' such that $\lambda x. e_b \rightarrow e'$.

T-APP e is $e_1 e_2$, so $\cdot \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau$.

By inversion on the typing rule, $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$ for some τ_2 .

There are three possible rules for deriving $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'$.

E-APP1 Then $e' = e'_1 e_2$ and $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$.

By $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$, $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$, and induction, $\cdot \vdash e'_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$.

Using this and $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$, T-APP lets us derive $\cdot \vdash e'_1 e_2 : \tau$.

E-APP2 Then $e' = e_1 e'_2$ and $e_2 \rightarrow e'_2$.

By $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$, $e_2 \rightarrow e'_2$, and induction $\cdot \vdash e'_2 : \tau_2$.

Using this and $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$, T-APP lets us derive $\cdot \vdash e_1 e'_2 : \tau$.

E-APPLY Then e_1 is $\lambda x. e_b$ for some x and e_b , and $e' = e_b[e_2/x]$.

By inversion of the typing of $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$, we have $\cdot, x:\tau_2 \vdash e_b : \tau$.

This and $\cdot \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$ lets us use the Substitution Lemma to conclude $\cdot \vdash e_b[e_2/x] : \tau$.

□