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Error and gradient for a single unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input: $x^{(k)}$</th>
<th>Architecture: $x_1 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow h \rightarrow y$</th>
<th>Error: $E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left( y^{(k)} - h(z^{(k)}) \right)^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desired output: $y^{(k)}$</td>
<td>Activation: $z^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(k)} w_i$</td>
<td>Gradient of error function: $-\nabla E(w) = -\left[ \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_1} \cdots \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_n} \right] = \sum_k \left( y^{(k)} - h(z^{(k)}) \right) h'(z^{(k)}) x^{(k)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output: $y = h(z^{(k)})$</td>
<td>Output: $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_p} = -\sum_k \left( y^{(k)} - h\left( \sum_i x_i^{(k)} w_i \right) \right) h'\left( \sum_i x_i^{(k)} w_i \right) x_p^{(k)} = -\sum_k \left( y^{(k)} - h\left( z^{(k)} \right) \right) h'(z^{(k)}) x_p^{(k)}$ does not depend on $p$</td>
<td>Delta rule!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arbitrary feed-forward networks

Preliminaries:

- Fix one of the inputs to 1, connect it to each unit that has a bias...
  no need to worry about biases anymore!

- For each input line, introduce a fake unit that simply copies the corresponding input

- Enumerate all units, starting with the inputs and ending with the outputs, so that all arrows point from the smaller to the larger number (this guarantees that the network has no loops)

Notation:

- Weight from unit $i$ to unit $j$: $w_{ji}$
- Output of unit $j$ (note: $o = x$ for input units): $O_j = h_j(z_j)$
- Internal activation of unit $j$: $z_j = \sum_{i \in \text{In}(j)} o_i w_{ji}$

Gradients

Error function and its gradient:

$$\nabla E(w; D) = \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \| y^{(k)} - y(x^{(k)}) \|^2 \right) = \sum_k \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \| y^{(k)} - y(x^{(k)}) \|^2 \right)$$

compute the gradient for each data point, then add up the results

Suppress data index $k$ for clarity:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \| y - y(x; w) \|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (y_i - y_i(x; w))^2$$

How does changing one weight affect the error?

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} o_i$$

If we somehow compute all $\delta$s, we are done!

The gradient is simply the list of all $\delta_j o_i$

$$\nabla E(w) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\delta_j o_i \\vdots \end{array} \right]$$
Back-propagation

\[ \delta_j = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial o_j} \frac{d o_j}{d z_j} = -(y_i - o_i) h'_j(z_j) \]

since \( o_j = h_j(z_j) \) and \( E = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - o_i)^2 + \ldots \)

What is \( \delta_j \) for a non-output unit?

The Error depends on \( z_j \) only through the activations of the units in the set \( \text{Out}(j) \)

Using the multivariate chain rule, we get:

\[ \delta_j = \frac{\partial E(z_p, z_q, z_r, \ldots)}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_p} \frac{\partial z_p}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_q} \frac{\partial z_q}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_r} \frac{\partial z_r}{\partial z_j} \]

In general, we have to sum over all units \( i \in \text{Out}(j) \)

\[ \delta_j = \sum_{i \in \text{Out}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial z_j} = \sum_i \delta_i \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial o_j} \frac{d o_j}{d z_j} \]

Recall that:

\[ z_i = w_i o_j + \ldots \Rightarrow \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial o_j} = w_{ij} \]

\[ o_j = h_j(z_j) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial o_j}{\partial z_j} = h'_j(z_j) \]

Properties of back-propagation

Both the forward and backward pass use the same connections

The algorithm is \textit{computationally efficient}, i.e. it avoids re-computing quantities that are already computed (a bit like dynamic programming)

Each weight is adapted on the basis of \textit{local} information only:

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j o_i \]

\textbf{Biologically realistic}: synapses in the brain adapt as a function of pre- and post-synaptic activity

\textbf{Biologically unrealistic}: neurons in the brain have no mechanism for propagating \( \delta \)-like quantities backwards
Unfolding recurrent networks

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{shared weights}
  \begin{itemize}
    \item \textbf{time (iterations)}
  \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

Neuro-animator

\begin{itemize}
  \item send controls \( u(t) \) to the dynamical system, observe resulting states \( x(t) \)
  \item train a recurrent neural network that predicts the system dynamics...
  \begin{itemize}
    \item The network computes the next state given the current state and control.
    \item The same network is then replicated over time, using weight sharing.
  \end{itemize}
  \item Successful applications:
    \begin{itemize}
      \item Reaching, Parking, Landing,
      \item Swimming
    \end{itemize}
  \item Given a desired final state, “invert” the network to get the appropriate control signals.
  \item This is done by gradient descent, where the weight are fixed and the unknown controls \( u \) are treated as the parameters to be optimized.
  \item It is straightforward to modify back-propagation to do this.
\end{itemize}
Control of a 2-link 6-muscle arm

(Huh and Todorov)