degrees

deg(v) =number of vertices adjacent to v

degrees

deg(v) = number of vertices adjacent to v

Claim: If a graph has m edges, then

$$\sum_{v} \deg(v) = 2m.$$

Proof: Every edge {u,v} contributes exactly 2 to the left hand side, 1 to deg(u), and 1 to deg(v).

degrees

Claim: In a party, the number of people who shake hands with an odd number of people must be even.

Proof: Construct a graph. Each vertex represents person, put edge between 2 people if they shake hands.

Need to prove: #vertices with odd degree is even.

$$2m = \sum \deg(v) = \sum_{v \text{ of even degree}} \deg(v) + \sum_{v \text{ of odd degree}} \deg(v)$$

even   **even**

Thus $\sum_{v \text{ of odd degree}} \deg(v)$ is even, so # vertices with odd degree is even.

Claim: If a graph has no cycles, it must have a vertex v of degree ≤ 1.

Path: A sequence of distinct vertices where each vertex is connected to the next by an edge.

Cycle: A path of length > 1 such that the first vertex is connected to the last one.

1,2,5,3 is a cycle

6,4,2,1,3,5,2,4 is not a cycle

Claim: If a graph has no cycles, it must have a vertex v of degree ≤ 1.

Proof:
Suppose not.

Then there is a graph G that has no cycles, and yet every vertex in the graph has degree > 1.

There must be $v_0, v_1, ..., v_n$, a sequence of n+1 vertices such that $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$, for all i, and adjacent vertices have an edge.

Intuition: this should not be possible! Let's use the degrees of the vertices to find a cycle.

Note: The argument should work for every such graph!

**Claim**: If a graph has no cycles, it must have a vertex v of degree ≤ 1.

Proof:
Suppose not.

Then there is a graph G that has no cycles, and yet every vertex in the graph has degree > 1.

There must be $v_0, v_1, ..., v_n$, a sequence of n+1 vertices such that $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$, for all i, and adjacent vertices have an edge.

By the pigeonhole principle, there must i<j s.t. $v_i = v_j$.

Let i<j be the closest such pair. Then $v_i, v_{i+1}, ..., v_{j-1}$ form a cycle.

v_4
v_3
v_5
v_2
v_8 v_0
v_6
v_7
v_1
v_9

Intuition: this should not be possible! Let's use the degrees of the vertices to find a cycle.

Note: The argument should work for every such graph!

Lemma: Every tree on n vertices has exactly n-1 edges.

Tree: A connected graph that has no cycles.

Proof: By induction on n.

Base case: n=1. Every graph with 1 vertex has 1-1 = 0 edges. The claim holds.

Inductive step: n>1. Let T be a tree with n vertices.

Since T has no cycles, there is a vertex v, deg(v) ≤ 1 by previous claim. deg(v)=1 since T is connected means there are no degree 0 vertices.

T' = T-v is connected and has no cycles, so it is a tree. T' has n-1 vertices. So by induction, T' has n-2 edges. Thus T has n-1 edges.

T'

Mantel's Theorem: If a graph on 2n vertices has n^2+1 edges, then it must have a triangle (3-cycle).

Proof: By induction on n.

Mantel's Theorem: If a graph on 2n vertices has n^2+1 edges, then it must have a triangle (3-cycle).

Proof: By induction on n.

Base case: n=1. Every graph with 2 vertices has at most 1 edge, so it can never have $n^2+1 = 2$ edges. The claim holds vacuously.

Mantel's Theorem: If a graph on 2n vertices has n^2+1 edges, then it must have a triangle (3-cycle).

Proof: By induction on n.

Base case: n=1. Every graph with 2 vertices has at most 1 edge, so it can never have $n^2+1 = 2$ edges.
The claim holds vacuously.

Inductive step: n>1.
Let {x,y} be an edge of the graph, and let G' denote the subgraph using the rest of the vertices.

x   y

G'

Mantel's Theorem: If a graph on 2n vertices has n^2+1 edges, then it must have a triangle (3-cycle).

Proof: By induction on n.

Base case: n=1. Every graph with 2 vertices has at most 1 edge, so it can never have n^2+1 = 2 edges.
The claim holds vacuously.

Inductive step: n>1.
Let {x,y} be an edge of the graph, and let G' denote the subgraph using the rest of the vertices.

    Case 1: At least $(n-1)^2+1$ edges are in G'.
    Then by the induction hypothesis, G' has a triangle.

Mantel's Theorem: If a graph on 2n vertices has n^2+1 edges, then it must have a triangle (3-cycle).

Proof: By induction on n.

Base case: n=1. Every graph with 2 vertices has at most 1 edge, so it can never have $n^2+1 = 2$ edges. The claim holds vacuously.

Inductive step: n>1.
Let {x,y} be an edge of the graph, and let G' denote the subgraph using the rest of the vertices.

Case 1: At least $(n-1)^2+1$ edges are in G'. Then by the induction hypothesis, G' has a triangle.

Case 2: At most $(n-1)^2$ edges are in G'. There is one edge between x,y, so #edges from {x,y} to G' $\geq n^2-(n-1)^2 = 2(n-1)+1$. But G' has 2(n-1) vertices, so there is vertex z such that {x,z},{y,z} are edges. Then x,y,z is a triangle.

x   y

z

G'