Lecture 11: Randomized Algorithms

Anup Rao

May 2, 2022

Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

Recall that a polynomial $p(x, y, z)$ is an expression of the form

$$14x^2y^5z^8 - 3x^3 + 17y^6z^3.$$

The degree of the polynomial is the maximum of the sums of the powers of the variables in any monomial. So in the last example, the degree is 15.

The Schwartz-Zippel Lemma turns out to be quite useful for randomized algorithms:

Lemma 1. *Let $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a polynomial of degree d, such that p is not the 0 polynomial. Let S be any set of numbers, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be n random numbers drawn from S. Then $\Pr[p(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0] \leq d/|S|$.*

Proof We prove the lemma by induction on n. When $n = 1$, the theorem follows from the fact that any non-zero degree d polynomial in one variable has at most d roots. Thus $p(a) = 0$ only when a is a root, which happens with probability at most d.

For the general case. Let us write the polynomial in the form

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = x_n^\ell \cdot q(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + r(x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

where here r is a polynomial in which the degree of x_n is at most $\ell - 1$. So we simply gather all the terms which have maximum degree in x_n.

Now let E_1 be the event that $p(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$, and let E_2 be the event that $q(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = 0$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr[E_1] &= \Pr[E_1 \wedge E_2] + \Pr[E_1 \wedge \neg E_2] \\
&= \Pr[E_2] \cdot \Pr[E_2|E_1] + \Pr[\neg E_2] \cdot \Pr[E_1|\neg E_2] \\
&\leq \Pr[E_2] + \Pr[E_1|\neg E_2].
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, since q is a degree $d - \ell$ polynomial, $\Pr[E_2] \leq (d - \ell)/|S|$. Since after $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are fixed in $\neg E_2$, we have that $p(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_n)$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree ℓ, we have that $\Pr[E_1|\neg E_2] \leq \ell/|S|$. Thus $\Pr[E_1] \leq d/|S|$. ■

Application: Algorithm for Perfect Matching

Given a bipartite graph G with n vertices on the left and n vertices on the right, a perfect matching in the graph is a set of n disjoint

edges in the graph. Here we give a simple randomized algorithm for computing whether or not a given graph contains a perfect matching.

Recall that the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix M is defined to be

$$\det(M) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{i\pi(i)},$$

where here S_n is the set of permutations on n elements, and $\text{sign}(\pi)$ is either 1 or -1 depending on the permutation. We have algorithms for computing the determinant that run in time $O(n^3)$.

Now consider the matrix obtained from the input graph by setting

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij} & \text{if } (i,j) \text{ is an edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have that $\det(M)$ is non-zero if and only if the graph has a perfect matching! Thus to test whether or not the graph has a perfect matching, it is enough to determine whether the polynomial $det(M)$ is non-zero or not. Observe that $\det(M)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n. Calculating this polynomial explicitly is too time consuming, since in general it may have an exponential number of monomials. Instead the following randomized algorithm works:

Input: A bipartite graph G with n vertices on each side.
Result: Whether or not G contains a perfect matching
For $i, j \in [n]$, sample a_{ij} uniformly at random from the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 10n\}$;
Set

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \text{if } (i,j) \text{ is an edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ;} \end{cases}$$

if $\det(A) = 0$ **then**
  Output "No perfect matching";
else
  Output "There is a perfect matching";
end

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for deciding perfect matching

If the graph has no perfect matching, then clearly the polynomial $\det(M) = 0$, so the algorithm always outputs that there is no perfect matching. However, when the graph does contain a perfect matching, the probability that $\det(A) = 0$ is at most $1/10$ by the Schwartz-Zippel lemma.

Polynomial Identity Testing

One can ask whether there are interesting problems that are known to be in **BPP** but not known to be in **P**. Although there are many examples of problems for which the fastest algorithms are randomized (for example, primality testing), there are not so many examples for which the only known algorithm is randomized. A key such example is the problem of polynomial identity testing.

We are given an arithmetic circuit (namely a circuit that uses multiplication and addition gates). The goal is to determine whether the polynomial computed by the circuit is identically 0. There is a subtle issue here that needs to be clarified. Note that two different polynomials may compute the same function on a particular set of inputs. For example, if the inputs are all binary, then $x_i^2 = x_i$ for any variable x_i. Indeed, if we changed the problem above to ask whether or not the arithmetic circuit computes the 0 function on binary inputs, then we obtain an **NP**-complete problem.

There is a simple randomized algorithm for identity testing. We pick random integers from a large enough set and evaluate the circuit on those inputs. If the circuit computes a non-zero polynomial, it can be shown that the output will be non-zero with high probability. To actually make this work, we need to make sure that evaluating the circuit can be done efficiently. Indeed the evaluation can easily compute a number that is as big as 2^{2^s} with a circuit of size s, which is too big to manipulate. It turns out that one can just do all the evaluations modulo a large random prime number p and obtain the same guarantees.

We do not know how to get a deterministic algorithm for this problem.