

Introduction to C++

Arvind Krishnamurthy
Spring 2004

Today's lecture

- C++ roots: C, Simula, Smalltalk
- C++ language features
 - is a better version of C
 - Object oriented (OO) features
 - Efficient, useful, but complex

"... we do use C++ regularly and find it very useful but certainly not perfect. Every full moon, however, we sacrifice a virgin disk to the language gods in hopes that the True Object Oriented Language will someday manifest on earth, or at least on all major platforms."

-- Rick Perner, LLNL

Better version of C

- Function types are more explicit; better type checking
 - Compiler flags errors
 - No more implicit declarations. In C, a function that is not declared is implicitly assumed to be a "int fun()"
 - You have to explicitly provide the argument list
 - In C, "int fun()" declaration does not preclude the program from passing arguments to fun
- Declare variable names at any point in the program
- Better print statements (type safe)
- Can interface with C code easily:
`extern "C" int strcmp(char *, char *);`

Example

```
#include <iostream.h>
extern int foo(int x); // not "extern int foo();"
int bar(int y)
{
    int z = foo(y);
    cout << "Current value: " << z << endl;
    cin >> z;
    for (int j=1; j<10; j++)
        z += j;
    int k = z + 10;
    return k;
}
```

- Question: what are the implications of explicit types and rigorous type-checking?

A Fortran Anecdote

- Urban folklore – a spacecraft was supposed to have been lost by the following bug (but didn't actually happen).

```
Do 10 I = 1. 10
```

```
10    Continue
```

instead of:

```
DO 10 I = 1, 10
```

```
10    Continue
```

- Compiler interpreted the statement as an assignment to variable "DO10I"

Object Oriented Language

- Objects are active entities:
 - contain data fields
 - contain methods to operate on data
- Terminology:
 - Classes: blueprint for objects (extension of structs and types in C)
 - A particular object is an *instance* of a class
 - Member functions are the methods that operate on objects
 - Constructors and destructors are special methods used for initializing and removing objects
 - Methods and data can be declared to be *public* or *private*

Example: Stack class

```
class Stack {
public:
    void Push(int value);
    int top;
    int stack[10];
};

void Stack::Push(int value) { // notation for member functions
    if (top < 10) // top is visible; which one is it?
        stack[top++] = value;
}
```

Creating Objects

```
Stack::Stack() // no return type; not even "void"
{
    top = 0;
}

void foo()
{
    Stack s1; // object is allocated on the stack
              // constructor automatically called
    s1.Push(10); // invoke Push on s1
    Stack *sptr; // no space allocated yet
    sptr = new Stack; // space allocated on the heap
    sptr->Push(20);
}
```

Customizable Stack

```
class Stack {
public:
    Stack();
    Stack(int sz);
    void Push(int value);
private:
    int top;
    int size;
    int *stack;
};

Stack::Stack(int sz) {
    size = sz; top = 0;
    stack = new int[size];
}

Stack::Stack() {
    size = 10; top = 0;
    stack = new int[size];
}
```

Overloading and Deletion

```
void foo()
{
    Stack s1; // gets you stack of size 10
    Stack s2(42); // gets you stack of size 42
    Stack *s3 = new Stack(50);
    delete s3;
}

Stack::~~Stack() {
    delete [] stack; // delete an array of integers
}
```

Object Oriented Features

- Discussion:
 - How do we implement the features described so far?
 - What are the compile-time/run-time implications?

Inheritance

- Two purposes:
 - define a standard interface for many classes (shared behavior)
 - reuse code across classes
- C++ allows multiple inheritance
 - almost all of the standard C++ libraries use inheritance
 - very hairy to understand code with multiple inheritance
 - tough to understand code even with single inheritance; never sure where the code is coming from
- Underscores the importance of the design aspect in developing a program

Example of Shared Behavior

```
class Stack {
public:
    Stack() {} // inline method, easier to write
    virtual ~Stack() {}
    virtual void Push(int value) = 0;
}
```

- A **derived** class inherits from a **base class** or **superclass**
- **virtual** methods can be defined or redefined by the derived class
- Cannot instantiate a Stack object because it has a **pure virtual method**
- Destructors for base classes have to be virtual

Derived classes

```
class ArrayStack : public Stack {
public:
    ArrayStack(int sz);
    ~ArrayStack();
    void Push(int value);
private:
    int size;
    int top;
    int *stack;
}

class ListStack : public Stack {
public:
    ListStack(int sz) { list = new IntList; }
    ~ListStack() { delete list; }
    void Push(int value) { list->Prepend(value); }
private:
    IntList *list;
}
```

Using base types

- Can refer to instances of derived classes using the base type
- The right method gets invoked

```
Stack *s1 = new ArrayStack(10);
Stack *s2 = new ListStack;
```

```
s1->Push(10);
s2->Push(12);
```

```
delete s1;
delete s2;
```

Announcements

- No class on Monday
- Suggested work:
 - Fiddle around with threads in Nachos
- Suggested background reading:
 - "Emperor's Old Clothes" -- Tony Hoare

Sharing Code through Inheritance

```
class Stack {
private:
    int numPushed;
public:
    virtual ~Stack();
    virtual void Push(int value) { numPushed++; }
    int NumPushed() { return numPushed; }
protected:
    Stack() { numPushed = 0; }
}

ArrayStack::ArrayStack(int sz) { Stack(); size = sz; stack = new int[sz]; }
ArrayStack::Push(int value) {
    if (NumPushed() < size) {
        stack[NumPushed()] = value;
        Stack::Push();
    }
}
```

C++ Inheritance Summary

- Inheritance used for sharing behavior and sharing code
- Derived classes inherit all of the data and methods from the base class
 - Generalizes to a hierarchy of classes
- How do we implement an operation such as:

```
StackPtr->dataVal = 4
or
StackPtr->method();
```
- Method invocation depends on whether method is declared virtual or not
 - If not virtual, hardcode function address at the call site
 - If virtual, index through a table of function pointers
- Question: how do we implement multiple inheritance?

OO Discussion

- Protected allows access only to members of derived classes
- All of the base class's code exists, just need to invoke it using the right syntax
- Division of labor between the different classes in constructing and deleting the objects
- Easy to write code that doesn't make much sense!
- Runtime system and compilers need to be smart to implement all of these language features

Templates

- Parameterize a class definition over many types
 - DoubleStack is not going to be much different from IntStacks
 - Different form of code reuse
 - Compiler generates as many versions of the classes as required

```
template <class T> class Stack {  
public:  
    Stack(int sz);  
    void Push(T value);  
private:  
    int size;  
    int top;  
    T *stack;  
}
```

Template methods and usage

```
template <class T>  
Stack<T>::Stack(int sz) {  
    size = sz;  
    top = 0;  
    stack = new T[size];  
}  
template <class T>  
void Stack<T>::Push(T value)  
{  
    if (top < size)  
        stack[top++] = value;  
}  
void foo() {  
    Stack<int> s1(10);  
    s1.Push(20);  
}
```

Other Features

- Operator overloading
 - Common example is to perform input/output
 - "Complex operator *(Complex c1, Complex c2)"
 - Precedence rules are the same
- Function overloading
 - Different methods with different number/type of arguments
 - Method to be invoked is determined based on number of arguments used
 - Easy to make mistakes
- Exceptions
 - Methods can return errors
 - Allow exceptions to be handled by calling methods

Programming Guidelines

- Name declarations:
 - Pick some style and stick to it
 - For e.g., classes have names that begin with capital letters (Stack)
- Minimize the use of global variables
- Minimize the use of global functions
- Develop the interface first
 - Implementation should fall out of the interface definition
 - Develop and test each module separately
- Try to stay away from the complex features of the language

Java vs. C++

- Java is a better language
 - Smaller
 - Real type safety
 - Garbage collection
 - Single inheritance
 - Differentiates between using inheritance for sharing as opposed to using inheritance for interface definition
 - Lots of runtime checks to help development
 - Concrete specification of how things execute
- Performance is a worry
- Debugging and development tools trying to catch up with implementation and use