#### cs264: Program Analysis catalog name: Implementation of Programming Languages

Ras Bodik WF 11-12:30

slides adapted from Mooly Sagiv

### Topics

- static program analysis
  - principles: key ideas and connections
  - techniques: efficient algorithms
  - applications: from compilation to software engineering

#### advanced topics, time permitting

- dynamic program analysis
- ex.: run-time bug-finding
- program representations
- ex.: Static Single Assignment form

### **Course Requirements**

- Prerequisites
  - a compiler course (cs164)
- Useful but not mandatory
  - semantics of programming languages (cs263)
  - algorithms
  - discrete math

### **Course structure**

- Source
  - Nielsen, Nielsen, Hankin, Principles of Program Analysis
  - research papers
- Format
- lectures (roughly following the textbook)
  - discussions of research papers
  - you'll read the paper before lecture and send me a "mini-review"
    4 paragraphs
- Grade
  - 4-5 homeworks
  - take-home exam
  - project

### **Guest lectures**

- Lectures may include several "guest lecturers"
   expert's view on a more advanced topic
- Guest lecture time usually <u>not</u> during class; instead
  - PS Seminar (Mondays 4pm in 320 Soda)
  - Faculty candidate talks (TBD)
  - CHESS Seminar (Tuesdays, 4pm, 540 Cory)
- First speaker
  - Shaz Qadeer, Monday 4pm 320 Soda
  - paper: KISS: Keep it Simple and Sequential
  - you'll write a mini-review (instructions to come)

## Outline

- What is static analysis
  - usage in compilers and other clients
- Why is it called abstract interpretation?
  - handling undecidability
  - soundness of abstract interpretation
- Relation to program verification
- Complementary approaches

## **Static Analysis**

- Goal:
  - automatic derivation of properties that hold on every execution leading to a program location (label)
  - (without knowing program input)
- Usage:
  - compiler optimizations
  - code quality tools
    - Identify bugs
    - Prove absence of certain bugs

## **Example Static Analysis Problem**

Find variables with constant value at a given program location











### Some Static Analysis Problems

- Live variables
- Reaching definitions
- Available expressions
- Dead code
- Pointer variables that never point to same location
- Points in the program in which it is safe to free an object
- A virtual method call whose target method is unique
- Statements that can be executed in parallel
- An access to a variable that must reside in the cache
- Integer intervals

## The Need for Static Analysis

#### Compilers

- Advanced computer architectures (Superscalar pipelined, VLIW, prefetching)
- High-level programming languages
- (functional, OO, garbage collected, concurrent)
- Software Productivity Tools
- Compile time debugging
  - Strengthen type checking for C
  - Detect Array-bound violations Identify dangling pointers

  - Generate test cases Prove absence of runtime exceptions
  - Prove pre- and post-conditions

## Software Quality Tools. Detecting Hazards

Uninitialized variables:

- a = malloc() ;
- b = a;
- cfree (a);
- c = malloc ();
- if (b == c)
- // unexpected equality

### References outside array bounds

Memory leaks



## **Challenges in Static Analysis**

- Correctness
- Precision
- Efficiency
- Scaling

## **Foundation of Static Analysis**

- Static analysis can be viewed as
  - interpreting the program over an "abstract domain"
  - executing the program over larger set of execution paths
- Guarantee sound results, ex.:
  - Every identified constant is indeed a constant
  - But not every constant is identified as such



## Even/Odd Abstract Interpretation

• Determine if an integer variable is even or odd at a given program point















Concrete and Abstract I	nterpretation
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
+' ? O E ? ? ? ? ? O ? E O E ? O E	*'       ?       O       E         ?       ?       ?       E         O       ?       O       E         E       E       E       E







## Challenges in Abstract Interpretation

- Finding appropriate program semantics (runtime)
  - Designing abstract representations
  - · What to forget
  - · What to remember
    - Summarize crucial information
  - Handling loops
  - Handling procedures
  - Scalability

•

- Large programs
- Missing source code
- Precise enough

	Runtime	Abstract
Effectiveness	Missed Errors	False alarms
		Locate rare errors
Cost	Proportional to program's execution	Proportional to program's size

# **Example Constant Propagation**

- Abstract representation set of integer values and and extra value "?" denoting variables not known to be constants
- Conservative interpretation of
  - +







x = 5;

y = 7;

if (getc()) y = x + 2;

z = x +y;

## **Example Program (2)**

```
if (getc())
x=3;y=2;
else
x=2;y=3;
z=x+y;
```

### **Undecidability Issues**

- It is undecidable if a program point is reachable in some execution
- Some static analysis problems are undecidable even if the program conditions are ignored

#### The Constant Propagation Example

while (getc()) {
 if (getc()) x\_1 = x\_1 + 1;
 if (getc()) x\_2 = x\_2 + 1;
 ...
 if (getc()) x\_n = x\_n + 1;
 }
y = truncate (1/ (1 + p²(x\_1, x\_2, ..., x\_n))
/\* ls y=0 here? \*/

### Coping with undecidabilty

- Loop free programs
- Simple static properties
- Interactive solutions
- Effects of conservative estimations
  - Every enabled transformation cannot change the meaning of the code but some transformations are not enabled
  - Non optimal code
  - Every potential error is caught but some "false alarms" may be issued

## Analogies with Numerical Analysis

- Approximate the exact semantics
- More precision can be obtained at greater computational costs
  - But sometimes more precise can also be more efficient

# Violation of soundness

- Loop invariant code motion
- Dead code elimination
- Overflow
  - ((x+y)+z) != (x + (y+z))
- Quality checking tools may decide to ignore certain kinds of errors
  - Sound w.r.t different concrete semantics

## **Optimality Criteria**

- Precise (with respect to a subset of the programs)
- Precise under the assumption that all paths are executable (statically exact)
- Relatively optimal with respect to the chosen abstract domain
- Good enough

## **Program Verification**

- Mathematically prove the correctness of the program
- Requires formal specification
- Example. Hoare Logic {P} S {Q}
  - $\ \{x=1\} \ x{++} \ ; \ \{x=2\}$
  - {x =1} {true} if (y >0) x = 1 else x = 2 {?}
  - {y=n} z = 1 while (y>0) {z = z \* y--; } {?}

## **Relation to Program Verification**

#### **Program Analysis**

- Fully automatic
- But can benefit from specification
   Applicable to a programming language
- Can be very imprecise
- May yield false alarms
- Identify interesting bugs
- Establish non-trivial properties using effective algorithms

# **Program Verification**

- Requires specification and loop
- invariants • Not decidable
- Program specific
- Relative complete
- Must provide counter examples
- Provide useful documentation

# **Complementary Approaches**

- Finite state model checking
- Unsound approaches
- Compute underapproximation
- Better programming language design
- Type checking
- Proof carrying code
- Just in time and dynamic compilation
- Profiling
- Runtime tests