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ABSTRACT
Most web applications are designed as one-size-fits-all, despite considerable variation in people’s expertise, physical abilities, and other factors that impact interaction. For example, some web applications require the use of a mouse, precluding use by many people with severe motor disabilities. Other applications require laborious manual input that a skilled developer could automate if the application were scriptable. This paper presents Genie, a system that automatically reverse engineers an abstract model of the underlying commands in a web application, then enables interaction with that functionality through alternative interfaces and other input modalities (e.g., speech, keyboard, or command line input). Genie comprises an abstract model of command properties, behaviors, and dependencies as well as algorithms that reverse engineer this model from an existing web application through static and dynamic program analysis. We evaluate Genie by developing several interfaces that automatically add support for speech, keyboard, and command line input to arbitrary web applications.
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INTRODUCTION
Many people rely on the web for diverse information needs, such as tracking news and events, communicating with friends, playing games, and monitoring personal finances. However, these same people have a diverse set of computer skills and physical abilities, often requiring them to interact with websites in ways designers did not anticipate. For example, for blind and low vision people, reading a web page requires using a screen reader [4]. Alternatively, people with severe motor impairments may be able to see a web page, but their limited ability to use a mouse may leave many interactive parts of the web almost impossible to use [13]. Other factors such as culture [28] and gender [30] can impact how a person perceives and interacts with a website. Websites are also viewed through a multitude of devices, resolutions, and form factors. It is infeasible for a developer to create a website that suits every need and every type of device.

What interfaces people perceive as usable often depends on their cultural background [28]. Reinecke et al. created culturally adaptive interfaces that corresponded to a 22% performance increase when compared to the original interfaces. A person’s gender [20] can influence the appeal and trustworthiness of a website. A person’s age can also affect perception, hearing, cognitive, and motor abilities, requiring new interface designs [17]. However, few of these factors are considered by developers when designing web applications.

Another area where diverse needs are not always addressed is in accessibility. Per a 2015 survey [31], 61.3% of screen reader users stated that web content has become less accessible or has not improved in the past year. The Web Content Accessibility Guidelines [8] provide a set of guidelines for making web content accessible, including ARIA attributes [10], which enable screen readers and other devices to translate and interpret interactive web content. Unfortunately, one study [27] found that only 50.4% of problems encountered by blind users were covered by the success criteria in these guidelines, and while 16.7% of websites implemented these guidelines, they did not solve accessibility problems. Moreover, studies of a large set of government and high-traffic websites found that most did not even implement the guidelines that are helpful [16].

Prior work has explored novel ways of extracting abstract models of user interfaces to make them more customizable to alternative needs. For example, Prefab [11] is a system that enables adding advanced behaviors on top of an existing interface, such as a target-aware implementation of the Bubble Cursor [23]. PAX [9] is a hybrid framework that enhances the capabilities of pixel-based systems, combining pixel-based information with the metadata exposed through the Accessibility APIs built into most operating systems. This approach enables more advanced interaction...
Find: Text, 470, Icon
Handle click: Handle click before, Show help
Pause: Pause
Start: Handler, Check visual elements, 1, Resume game
Toggle: Tools
Enter: 1, Resume game
Left: Main hex, Rotate, 1
Q: Toggle, tools
Right: Main hex, Rotate, 1
4 more commands...

Figure 1. The Hextris web game (hextris.io) shown with a list of speech commands created by Genie. Speaking the bolded text label for each command triggers the corresponding actions.

techniques, such as “Screen Search” which enables searching for GUI components in an interface. SUPPLE [14] discovered the needs of individual users through a performance test and automatically generated a customized interface based on their preferences.

Although prior work demonstrates the power of generating abstract models of interfaces, building these models from surface features such as pixels and accessibility APIs is limiting. Interfaces can contain a variety of behaviors that cannot be discovered from visible features, including keyboard input, touchscreen gestures, and other custom commands. This is particularly true on the web, where websites use a vast array of different user interface toolkits and custom interactive controls that can vary by platform and device.

This work presents Genie, a new approach to interface modeling that applies program analysis techniques from software engineering to reverse engineer a model of an application’s commands from source code. We explore this approach on the web, where reverse engineering is simplified due to the open access to user interface structure in the DOM and the source code that handles inputs. Genie derives models of the currently available commands from a web application and enables access to them through other input modalities. For example, Figure 1 shows a game that originally supported only mouse and keyboard input. Genie recovers the application’s underlying command structure and provides an application-agnostic speech interface to access the game’s commands. Speaking the command label “Left” (a command label derived by Genie’s analysis) rotates the hexagon left, performing the same functionality as clicking the left mouse button or typing the left arrow key. This input

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command Property Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Available:</strong> Dependent on the enabled and visible state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabled:</strong> True if at least one data dependency with at least one side effect is currently satisfied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visible:</strong> The command element is visible on the screen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Dependency:</strong> A condition in an event listener that can be evaluated to have the value of either true or false and is associated with at least one side effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Side Effect:</strong> A statement in an event listener that has an effect on the system when executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Device Dependencies:</strong> A list of commands that must be performed before (pre) or after (post) a command based on the implicit device requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Input:</strong> A list of required inputs to the command. Possibilities are mouse location, mouse button, key code, and value. Knowing each required input value allows Genie to generate them automatically, or request them from users, when required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perform:</strong> Triggers the command automatically, supplying the correct required input values, and triggering commands corresponding to the pre and post device dependencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Genie abstract data model property definitions.

retargeting approach allows a web application designed to support one input modality to be mapped onto any other input modality, ensuring that users can access any website according to their diverse needs.

The contributions of our work are:

- An application-agnostic abstract model of interactive commands and their properties.
- A method for reverse engineering these commands from an existing web interface into this abstract representation.
- An API that exposes a website’s commands, which can be used to author interfaces that support other input modalities.
- Several application-agnostic interfaces that automatically retarget input to add speech, keyboard, and command-line input capabilities to arbitrary web applications.

In the rest of this paper, we describe the architecture and implementation of our approach and then demonstrate the potential of Genie in several examples of input retargeting. We end with a discussion of how Genie builds upon prior work, additional remaining challenges of input retargeting, and several ideas for how Genie can augment a website to support diverse needs.

**THE GENIE FRAMEWORK**

Genie models web application user interfaces as a set of available commands. Each command has a set of properties (shown in Figure 2), which represent command availability, dependencies, and other metadata. Genie periodically refreshes commands and their properties as the state of the interface changes in response to user interaction or other application background activity. Genie also provides generic support for
executing each command, allowing the implementation of alternative interfaces that support other input modalities.

Genie is implemented as a Google Chrome extension that accesses the web page DOM, event registrations, and source code. Genie consists of five algorithms to 1) detect commands, 2) filter commands to those that are directly executable, 3) analyze properties of commands to update their status in an interface, 4) describe commands obtain appropriate labels for an interface, and 5) invoke commands by recreating their inputs and event sequences. The following sections describe each algorithm and how they discover and update the properties and behaviors of the Genie data model.

Command Detection
The Genie system interposes application event registration to detect commands. Genie assumes graphical user interfaces consist of graphical elements that make up the interface, events that are triggered by input devices performing various actions on elements (e.g., click, keydown), and listeners that receive and respond to events. This event-subscription model is the dominant way of receiving and responding to input in modern user interface frameworks. Web interfaces also consist of a set of default interactive elements such as links (i.e., <a>) or input fields. Genie maps each interactive element and registered event listener to a unique command.

Genie detects commands by intercepting each event listener registration as it occurs. The default DOM APIs, as described by the W3C (www.w3.org), do not provide a method of accessing all currently subscribed event listeners in the DOM. We therefore monitor each registered event listener by overriding the default DOM API for addEventListener. This override notifies Genie that a new listener has been registered, and calls the original addEventListener method to register the listener with the browser. Event listeners can also be registered using a secondary library (e.g., jQuery or D3). These libraries wrap the addEventListener method, so intercepting addEventListener calls is sufficient to capture event listeners registered using these libraries. The override is achieved by injecting a script into the original page before DOM initialization so that all registered event listeners are intercepted. The script then intercepts all event listeners registered after DOM initialization to keep a currently updated list of events, each of which corresponds to a new command.

The default DOM APIs provide a second method of registering event listeners through global attributes. These listeners are registered in two ways. One is through an inline attribute on the DOM element in the format onclick="listenerName()" where the attribute name can be onclick or any of the supported event types. Applications can also register global event listeners using the format element.onclick=listenerName. Genie locates and detects all registered global event listeners at document initialization and monitors any updates to them using the MutationObserver API, which notifies Genie of any updates to element attributes in the DOM. Genie also collects and monitors interactive elements (e.g., <input>, <a>) using the MutationObserver API.

Command Filtering
There are hundreds of events that can be triggered in a browser. A small subset of these events corresponds to interactive actions that a user can trigger by clicking, touching, or typing a key. Genie distinguishes between events that can be triggered by a user action, and those that happen as side effects of user actions or are triggered by the system.

Genie categorizes events into three groups: direct, indirect, and system. Direct events are those that can be triggered by human actions (e.g., a mouse click - mousedown, a key stroke - keydown). Indirect events happen as a side-effect of triggering direct events (e.g., an element gains focus - focusin, a field loses focus - blur). System events are events that are triggered without user intervention, but occur during interactive use of the system (e.g., a resource failed to load - error, a resource has finished loading - load). Genie collects each event listener registered to each direct event as a command, and filters out all event listeners registered to system events. Genie monitors indirect event listener registrations but does not expose them as commands, instead using them in the invoke module which we will describe later. The output of the command filtering process is: (1) a set of registered direct and indirect event listener and element combinations, and (2) a set of default interactive elements.

Command Property Analysis
User interfaces can change at any time in response to user interactions, events, and system status. To give an accurate picture of what a person can do at any given point in time, and to prevent needless interactions with disabled commands, we analyze and monitor the visibility and enabled state of each command, keeping the visible and enabled states in Figure 2 current with the original user interface.

To discover the value of these properties, for each intercepted event listener registration, we capture the event type, event listener source code, and the DOM element the listener is associated with. For the visible property, we query the DOM element for its visibility through a set of properties that can hide any element, such as setting the display attribute to none or other standard methods of hiding elements. Elements can also be off-screen or opaque, and we use existing DOM APIs to inspect these forms of visibility.

A command can be disabled (enabled=false) in two ways. First, a DOM element can set the disabled attribute. However, this attribute is not required, so it is possible that an element will appear interactive even if current conditions in its event listener prevent it from having effect. The second way a command can be disabled is therefore if the conditions in its event listener that result in side effects are not currently
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1 www.w3.org/TR/html-markup/global-attributes.html
2 developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/MutationObserver
Input: AST for handleStartGame()

```javascript
function handleStartGame(e) {
  var startBtn = $('#startBtn');
  if(!startBtn.attr("disabled")) {
    startBtn.attr("disabled",false);
    // Start the game
    if(livesRemaining > 0) {
      startGame();
    }
  }
}
```

Output: data dependencies (DD) & side effects (SE)

DD: !("startBtn").attr("disabled")
& livesRemaining > 0
SE: startBtn.attr("disabled",false), startGame()

DD: !("startBtn").attr("disabled")
& !(livesRemaining > 0)
SE: startBtn.attr("disabled",false)

DD: !("startBtn").attr("disabled")
SE: None

Figure 3. An event listener that starts a game if the start button is not disabled and if there are any remaining lives. Genie discovers three data dependencies in its analysis of this listener.

satisfied. We define each condition as a data dependency and each method call, state update, event, or other response in an event listener as a side effect. Each event listener has one or more data dependencies and each data dependency can have one or more side effects. If none of the data dependencies of a listener are currently satisfied, a command will have no effect, and we mark the command's enabled state as false.

Our system discovers data dependencies by analyzing the event listener source code as shown in Figure 3. First, our system parses the event listener to construct an abstract syntax tree (AST). Our system traverses this AST to construct an expression for each data dependency. We compute data dependency expressions by tagging each variable node in the AST with the node where it was either previously defined or declared. Then, we locate each conditional (e.g., if, while, switch). Within each conditional expression (i.e., the expression that must be true to reach the path specified inside conditional block), we locate each variable identifier and replace it first with where it was last assigned, and secondly, where it was last declared if we do not find any other assignments besides the variable's declaration. This process continues recursively until we have replaced all identifiers in a conditional expression with their corresponding assignments or declarations.

Figure 3 shows an event listener that handles clicking on a game's start button. There are three possible data dependencies corresponding to the three potential paths through the code, labeled by "DD" under output. These expressions depend on the current state of the Start button and the number of lives remaining. The first expression is constructed by combining the first if conditional with the second nested if conditional. The startBtn reference in the

Input: AST for rotateHexagonLeft()

```javascript
function rotateHexagonLeft() {
  // Rotate the hexagon left
  if (MainHex && gameState !== 0) {
    MainHex.rotate();
    // Update the position counter
    MainHex.hexagonPosition--;  
  }
}
```

Output: Rotate the hexagon left, rotate hexagon left, rotate, update the position counter, hexagon position

Figure 4. Inputs and outputs for command description algorithm for the rotateHexagonLeft event listener.

first conditional is resolved to the value !("#startBtn") based on where it was last assigned in the listener, which occurs on the previous line.

Each data dependency is associated with one or more side effects. Identifying side effects is important for interpreting and displaying to a person what effects the command will have when it is executed. In Figure 3, startGame() is a side effect. Each line of code that would be executed if a data dependency expression is satisfied is a potential side effect. This method of side effect detection is potentially accurate if each method call, state update, or response is causing an update to the state of the system, affecting future interactions. However, this may not always be the case. To improve this method, we could potentially identify output affecting statements (e.g., as in [21]), and link each method call or state update to its last assigned location outside of the event listener, linking it to its origin in the website’s source code. These could be searched for output affecting statements, or Ajax calls that cause data changes. However, this method would mostly be an approximation.

A command is disabled (enabled=false) if none of its data dependencies are currently satisfied, if none of its data dependencies have side effects, and if it has no side effects outside of conditional expressions. For example, Figure 1 shows a set of four disabled commands, Enter, Left, Q, and Right. This event listener for the Left command, shown in Figure 4, consists of only a single data dependency (MainHex && gameState !== 0), and a two side effects (MainHex.rotate(1), MainHex.hexagonPosition--). Before the game starts, the value of gameState is 0. After a person starts the game, its value is 1, resulting in this expression evaluating to true, and allowing the side effects to occur when the event listener is called.

Command Monitoring

After reverse engineering each command's data dependencies and side effects, Genie evaluates them to determine each command's availability. This process runs in an update service which calls the JavaScript method eval to evaluate each data dependency in a global scope. The results of eval are sent to each Genie interface through the update service. For example, the speech interface shown in Figure 1 updates
the status in the interface by giving the disabled commands a grey color. Our analysis currently only resolves data dependencies in the local event listener scope (excluding expressions defined outside this scope such as `MainHex`). This is because it is not possible to access the closure of registered event listeners to recreate the scoping of these variables. In future work, we will explore how we can evaluate these expressions more accurately within the constraints of JavaScript scoping.

Genie’s update service runs every second, evaluating the enabled and visible states of each command as a person interacts with the system, providing them with timely feedback about command availability.

**Describing Commands**

Alternative interfaces that display available commands need some way to describe the commands so that people know what effect each command will have before they invoke it. For example, Genie derives and displays command labels in the speech interface shown in Figure 1, giving each command a name and basic description of its effects.

To derive these labels, Genie identifies labels from command metadata by searching for natural language phrases starting with an imperative verb followed by a noun. For example, the phrases in Figure 1 include “Resume game” or “Show help”. If a command label cannot be found in the “verb noun” format, Genie searches each metadata string for verbs and nouns to use as labels, if they can be found.

Genie collects command metadata from two sources: `element` and `listener` metadata. `Element` metadata comes directly from the attributes of a command’s DOM element. `Global` attributes are those common to all types of DOM elements, which include `title`, `id`, and `class`. These three attributes frequently and conventionally contain semantic metadata to describe the object or concept that a DOM element represents. `<input>` elements have an additional set of commonly useful attributes: `placeholder`, `alt`, and `value`. Another subset of elements, `<input>`, `<button>`, `<fieldset>`, `<textarea>`, and `<select>` have a name attribute. `<a>` elements have an `href` attribute that also frequently contains descriptive metadata.

`Listener` metadata comes from command event listener source code. Genie analyzes four sources of listener metadata: 1) comments on the event listener, 2) the event listener name, 3) comments on expression calls and assignments (i.e., side effects), and 4) the expression calls and assignments. To parse these sources, the algorithm splits each assignment, function call, and function name into separate identifiers (e.g., each token in Figure 4, such as `MainHex` and `hexagonPosition`), parsing each identifier and attribute value separately and identifying them as either a phrase or an individual word.

---

3 github.com/cfinke/Typo.js
key). For example, a motor action (e.g., clicking the left mouse button) or typing a key. Genie analyzes and discovers these dependencies to determine that the command is dependent upon mouse position.

However, Genie cannot simply trigger only the event corresponding to the command. Web interfaces instead expect one or more sequences of events to be triggered by a motor action (e.g., clicking the left mouse button, typing a key). For example, a mouseup event and a mousedown event must be triggered before the click event is triggered, as an application’s semantics may depend on these events occurring. We call these event ordering requirements device dependency events (see definition in Figure 2). Each command has a list of pre device dependencies and post device dependencies describing events that need to be triggered before and after the event, in the correct order. Device dependencies consist of both direct events, such as mouseup and mousedown, and indirect events, such as blur and focus. When a Genie interface requests to perform a command, Genie executes pre and post device dependencies before and after a command in the correct order if there are commands corresponding to those events.

Many events also require additional input that originates from device specific input, such as a mouse location, or key code. Genie analyzes and discovers these dependencies through a command’s event listener. To do this, Genie traverses the AST of the event listener to locate mouse location and keyboard dependencies. Event listeners typically reference device location through properties on the event object (e.g., evt.clientX, evt.clientY, evt.x, and evt.y). Our algorithm searches the AST for references to these properties, typically in assignment or conditional expressions. For example, Figure 6 shows that the mouseMoveHandler() function references the clientX property of the event object and stores it in the relativeX variable. The conditional test expression then references the variable relativeX. We transitively detect any dependencies that occur in the AST.

As Figure 2 shows, each command has a required input property. Each key code value we discover (e.g., 13) is added to the required input list. If we cannot find a possible value for a key code reference, in cases where the key code value is assigned to a global variable or variable declared outside the function, and not referenced later in a conditional, we do not add a value to required input because we cannot determine that value statically. Genie detects mouse button dependencies through traversing references to the button and buttons properties of the event object, and collects the corresponding values in a similar manner to keyCode.

Each key code or mouse button value in the required input property is mapped to one or more side effects. When a command has multiple required input values, Genie splits the command into multiple pseudo-commands, where each required input value is a command, has a command label corresponding to its input value or side effect metadata, and has a set of side effects that will occur if the command is given that specific input. Figure 1 is an example where the commands “Left” and “Right” originate from the same event listener. In the Genie system, a pseudo-command is represented in the same way as a regular command.

Each Genie command also has a perform method that triggers the command, supplying the required input and pre and post device dependencies. For a pseudo-command, Genie supplies the associated required input value.

Genie API
To support developers in building applications with Genie models, we built an API that exposes any web page’s current set of commands, properties, and behaviors. Developers can create a Genie interface which can subscribe to an abstract list of commands that Genie keeps up-to-date. Genie notifies each interface when the state of a property (e.g., visible,
Each Genie interface requires defining a new representation of the interface to use for a command (i.e., HTML structure and CSS), and code that defines how to update an interface when a command’s enabled or visible status changes. However, a Genie interface does not need to define any code that interacts with the abstract set of commands.

Each Genie interface can trigger the command using the framework and pass in the required arguments. Interfaces can define their custom command triggers for each command, which Genie then invokes automatically. Genie provides each interface a set of default labels for a command, including a command trigger label that is unique to each command (e.g., the bolded labels shown in Figure 1) and an additional set of labeling metadata. The framework is meant to be simple, only requiring a new Genie interface to implement the behaviors required for command activation.

GENIE INTERFACE EXAMPLES

The benefit of having an abstract model of application commands is that we can easily translate web interfaces to support a range of input, without having to design built-in support for this range of input. We validated Genie by building several diverse applications showcasing these translations, and we motivate them through their potential for making the web more powerful and accessible. Each of these applications is demonstrated on a single website, but the applications themselves are generic and are meant to be applied to any website based on Genie’s analyses.

Automatic Speech Input

Many people have severe motor impairments that make using a mouse or physical keyboard almost impossible [13]. However, many people with motor impairments can use speech interfaces. Using the Genie API, we built the speech interface shown in Figure 1. The Genie interface displays a list of currently available commands on the page. People can trigger any of the commands by simply speaking the label shown in bold. Commands shown in dark grey are currently disabled and cannot be triggered. Genie monitors and updates the states of these commands as the user interacts with the web page. We implemented this interface using the Genie API, defining the interface structure and styles, integrating the Web Speech API to process speech input, and mapping each speech input to the corresponding Genie command. This only required about 150 lines of JavaScript code.

The web site shown in Figure 1 demonstrates our speech interface active on a game called Hextris, which consists of a rotating hexagon. The objective of the game is to prevent blocks from leaving the outside of the gray hexagon. The two main commands to play the game are speaking “Left” and “Right” to rotate the hexagon in either direction. Genie allows anyone to play this game via speech, in addition to using the built-in mouse and keyboard commands.

Automatically Generated Keyboard Shortcuts

Most websites only support mouse input, or selectively implement support for a small set of keyboard accelerators. For example, the calculator shown in Figure 5 is a basic calculator with simple number, operator (e.g., +, *), and memory functions (e.g., MR, MC). It has keyboard shortcuts for numbers, but not for operators or memory functions, rendering the calculator useless without a mouse. Such applications are less accessible to people who do not use a mouse, such as people with severe motor impairments or people who use screen readers.

We used Genie to build the interface shown in Figure 5. This interface displays a list of commands and a corresponding automatically generated keyboard shortcut for each command. Implementing this interface required defining shortcut triggers using the Keypress API and defining a function to generate a shortcut for each command. We defined a simple method to define shortcuts using the first letter of the command label and the modifier ctrl. If a shortcut is already used, the interface assigns the second letter as the shortcut, and so on. Each keyboard shortcut has a unique command label and a corresponding metadata description. This required about 130 lines of code.

Figure 5 shows the calculator interface with our Genie interface active. This interface can be used on any website to activate automatically generated shortcuts, so the calculator interface is used here as just an example. The interface displays a shortcut that can be used to trigger each number, operator, and memory command. Number commands contain the metadata “number button”, operators have the metadata “operator button”, and memory buttons have the metadata “memory button”, along with other collected metadata, shown by each command description. For this interface, the labels happen to originate from the referenced listener name in the onclick attribute which for each of the buttons has the value onclick="operatorButton('+')".

Keyboard-Based Mouse Input

Many people cannot use a mouse to interact with the web, preventing them from using applications that rely on fine-grained pointer input such as drawing or diagramming tools [13,19]. With Genie, we can easily create alternate ways of supplying precise pointer input using the keyboard.

Figure 8 shows an automatic keyboard-based mouse input application we built currently active on a graph drawing application. Typing ctrl-i triggers the command “Insert node” as labeled in the figure. Triggering the “Insert node” command displays a grid covering the surface of the canvas. Typing in the numbers corresponding to the desired cell generates a mouse location used as input to Genie when the command is triggered. Implementing this Genie interface using the framework simply required implementing the method of inputting coordinates, integrating the Canvas API

5 dmauro.github.io/Keypress
to draw the grid, and registering keydown listeners to process location input. In all, this interface required only about 75 lines of JavaScript.

This method of input, while not having the precision of clicking a mouse on the canvas, does provide a method of entering this input. This interface could easily be extended with more accurate methods of input, such as an onscreen cursor that could be moved with keyboard or voice commands. This interface could also work with other input devices. For example, people could speak the cell numbers to input the location or use the command line interface that we describe in the next section. The developer of each Genie interface would need to create this mapping, but as we have shown, such mappings require very little implementation work.

A Command Line Interface for Web Automation

Most web applications are not scriptable. Many web forms require painstaking input needing a skilled programmer to automate. Web automation tools such as CoScripter [22] and Chickenfoot [5] provided powerful solutions to this problem. Genie can easily recreate such functionality, providing a command line scripting console for arbitrary web applications.

Figure 9 shows our example command-line applied to a simple to-do list application (flask.io). This application allows people to create to-do lists, save to-do lists to a profile, and share tasks with other people. Typing “commands” into the terminal presents the user with a list of the available commands discovered by Genie. Commands for the application shown include “write task” which corresponds to typing a value into the field labeled “Write your next task here”, and “save task” which saves the task to the interface. Typing “help” into the terminal displays the list of command triggers along with more detailed descriptions from the collected command metadata. The command line interface supports macro creation allowing for automation of multiple commands and inputs. The user creates a macro using the following format.

<macroName>=""commandName1">";"<commandInput1 (optional)>","<commandName2>";"…

The paperReview macro in Figure 9 creates and saves three tasks to the list: read paper, write review, and submit review. These are three hypothetical tasks that a reviewer might create to remember to complete all steps of submitting a paper review. The macro could potentially be persisted across sessions so that adding subsequent paper reviews would require simply typing the command paperReview.

RELATED WORK

Prior work has explored various aspects of the Genie system, from enabling creation of more personalized and accessible websites to retargeting the inputs of an application from one modality to another. Fewer systems have explored discovering the interactive behaviors or models of an application and modifying the discovered behaviors. Genie goes beyond such work by integrating program analysis techniques to enable command discovery and customization.

One prior focus of web personalization is web accessibility. ARIA [10] attributes enable screen readers to interpret web content, and a few prior works have dynamically analyzed and injected ARIA attributes into a website. One method describes dynamic updates by monitoring and dynamically injecting ARIA attributes onto the updated content [6]. Another method detects and makes static content accessible [7]. However, these methods primarily operate within the existing input modality of the page, improving the interaction for people...
who could already access the page via that modality, but not enabling access via entirely new modalities.

In addition to ARIA attributes, some other approaches have used the power of crowdsourcing and collaboration to identify web accessibility issues and apply fixes, including AccessMonkey [3] and CAN [18]. These systems enable developers to write scripts to fix specific accessibility issues. However, these scripts are mostly written for a specific website or subset of websites. They typically modify a specific aspect of behavior or add a new functionality. In contrast, Genie focuses on a generic method for discovering and describing existing functionality to support alternates forms of access to that existing functionality.

Prior work also explores automatically generating interfaces to make them more accessible or efficient to use. SUPPLE [14] had users take a one-time performance test that enabled generation of a custom interface suited to personal abilities, improving efficiency of generated interfaces. The EKOGI system [1] accounted for a person’s abilities and the interactions that best suit those abilities, generating a tailored interface. The contributions of Genie could allow such solutions to leverage a more application-agnostic model of commands. Genie could notify such systems what commands are available, and a corresponding Genie interface could be created per each person’s detected abilities. Additionally, these interfaces could potentially be even more personalized because they could select and use an alternate input modality that is more appropriate to a person’s abilities.

In addition to Genie, a few systems have enabled input retargeting to translate the modality of an application to one that is more accessible or efficient to use, but these systems have hard-coded input mappings. Gesture Avatar [24] allowed people to interact with an existing mobile interface through gestures. Their method operated on the pixel-level, creating a mapping between gestures and their corresponding objects in the interface. Their approach creates custom mappings from one input domain to another, while Genie allows for the creation of generic mappings.

Genie relies on the ability to automatically discover interactive behaviors of an application. Prior work in program analysis has discovered these interactive behaviors, but has primarily focused such efforts toward GUI testing. For example, some prior work has utilized web crawling to produce models of the interactive components of web interfaces [25,29]. For desktop user interfaces, some prior work has discovered these interactive components using accessibility APIs [27] and computer vision techniques [15].

A few systems have both detected and enabled modifying the behavior of the interactive components of an application. Runtime toolkit overloading in Scotty [12] is one approach, a technique for supporting manual program analysis for adding functionality to existing runtime behavior. Prefab [11], Sikuli [32], and Waken [2] all use pixel-based analyses to discover interface components through templates and machine vision techniques. These systems also enable modifying the behavior of the detected interface components. Because these methods only have access to the pixel-level appearance of an application’s interface, but not the application’s source code, they can only understand visible behaviors. Unlike Genie, they have no understanding about whether components they are detecting are actually interactive, nor any way of predicting their behavior.

Only a few prior works have analyzed source code for enhancing accessibility or usability. Ko et al. applied program analysis to detect paths in a web application that did not result in any feedback in the interface [21]. Genie builds upon these techniques, using both static and dynamic analysis, to support automatic interface translation and adaptation.

LIMITATIONS

Many of Genie’s limitations are due to limited availability of metadata in an application’s source code. For instance, a key limitation of Genie’s command labels and the descriptions Genie discovers is that many websites use “minification” to improve performance and obfuscate code, limiting the information Genie can extract and present in alternate interfaces. Even if a site is not minified, many websites do not include descriptors in the comments or source code of their event listeners or on their command elements. Even if the information exposed by Genie is not detailed or high quality, the ability of Genie to at least expose what actions are possible may still be useful. Better metadata might also be attached through social annotation techniques [20], applied to the original interface or to Genie’s representation.

Other limitations are due to imprecision in the program analyses that we used in our prototype. For example, we only analyze the functions registered as event listeners, and not the functions they transitively call. We could have done a full program analysis, tracking the full extent of downstream side effects following a function call, potentially discovering a more precise set of command states (e.g., enabled, disabled), side effects, and descriptions. Not having these precise states might mean that a command label is not descriptive enough, or that a command that is currently disabled is shown as enabled. However, triggering the command through Genie will have the same behavior as triggering the command through the regular interface, and relevant feedback of the disabled command will still be presented. Discovering more precise command states is a matter of applying more advanced program analysis techniques from prior work in software engineering, but it was outside the scope of our prototyping.

Another limitation we discovered with larger websites was that Genie discovered large numbers of commands that made it difficult to discern which command triggered which functionality. Future work should explore reverse engineering more metadata to help organize and group relevant commands together so that they are more discoverable.
DISCUSSION & FUTURE WORK
During the process of creating our alternate interfaces, we generated several additional ideas for Genie interfaces. Many of these involved creating input retargeting support for other modalities, including touch input, brain-computer input, or any other types of future input devices. However, we also generated several other interface enhancements that go beyond input. For example, Genie might be used to automatically create a help interface that display tooltips that describe each command and its side effects. In investigating this, we found that the metadata we could collect from the real applications used in this paper was not detailed enough to support this type of description, but would still give some indication as to the behavior of the command and could be useful in many cases. More advanced analysis of command side effects might allow us to generate tutorials that suggest a specific sequence of commands to complete a particular task, thus providing more advanced and automated help.

Another promising use for Genie might be in adding enhanced ARIA metadata to existing websites, which is a standard for making interactive websites screen readable. For example, the attribute aria-disabled indicates that an element is perceivable but not interactive. Hidden elements in a page should be marked with the attribute aria-hidden which indicates that the interactive element is not visible or perceivable. As the Genie data model already exposes and notifies a Genie interface when these two properties are updated for any command, it would be simple to implement a Genie interface that keeps these two properties up to date for any web interface. In fact, utilizing a combination of static and dynamic analysis has the potential of being able to monitor the state of many attributes, such as aria-invalid, aria-expanded, and others. We will explore extending Genie to monitor additional properties in future work.

There are a diverse set of issues with existing web interfaces that could also be enhanced or repaired with the metadata Genie collects. We have explored building a Genie interface that would automatically detect and repair usability issues in an interface. For example, previous work [21] analyzed a set of 115 web applications, and found 37% did not provide feedback to users after completing an action. Augmenting Genie to detect when a command does not provide feedback, and generating customized feedback messages, could be a promising application. Genie additionally analyzes the disabled state of commands, so using this information to provide reasoning to users about why a command is currently disabled, or what commands can be performed to enable it, could also be useful applications of Genie’s analysis.

In future work, we plan to scale up our evaluation to demonstrate the effectiveness of our techniques on a larger set of websites selected from the Alexa.com rankings. This will allow us to fully evaluate the benefits and limitations of Genie across a more representative set of websites, and discover areas of improvement for future work.

CONCLUSION
This paper has presented Genie, a framework to reverse engineer the interactive commands from a website, retargeting their inputs to alternate input modalities. Genie enables alternate access to a broad range of websites that were not designed for diverse abilities. By implementing a set of alternate interfaces using the Genie framework, we have shown that this approach has the potential to create more efficient and customizable interfaces that can enhance the ability to interact with existing websites. Through the many opportunities to enhance the metadata that Genie collects, and through more advanced methods of program analysis, we hope to create a system where every website can be accessed by any person that desires to use it, through any method of interaction they require based on their individual abilities.
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