

1 Metric space embeddings

Let (X, d) be a finite metric space with $n = |X|$. Recall that the distance function d satisfies the axioms of a metric: For all $x, y, z \in X$:

1. $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$

While properties (2) and (3) are essential for us, property (1) is often not particularly important. If a distance function satisfies only (2) and (3), it is commonly called a *pseudo-metric*.

Metric spaces arise in a variety of mathematical and scientific domains since they abstract the properties of many natural notions of "similarity" between objects. Consider, for instance, the latency between nodes in a network, the travel-distance between cities, the edit distance between genetic sequences, or various similarity measures between proteins.

Often one might first try to understand a given metric space (X, d) by trying to compare it to a well-understood space. For instance, one could think about mapping $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ into a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k equipped with the Euclidean norm $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2}$. One way to measure how well this mapping preserves the geometry of X is via the *bilipschitz distortion*. This is the smallest number $D > 0$ such that

$$d(x, y) \leq \|F(x) - F(y)\|_2 \leq D \cdot d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.1)$$

Today we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Bourgain 1985). *Every n -point metric space embeds into some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k with bilipschitz distortion D , where $D \leq O(\log n)$.*

We will show that this is possible with $k \leq O((\log n)^2)$, but in the next lecture, we will see that for general reasons, one can achieve $k \leq O(\log n)$.

2 Distances to subsets

2.1 Fréchet's embedding

Let us first show how we can achieve the significantly worse bounds $D \leq \sqrt{n}$ and $k = n$. Enumerate the points $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $F(x) = (F_1(x), \dots, F_n(x))$, where

$$F_i(x) = d(x, x_i).$$

First, note that every coordinate is 1-Lipschitz: For all $x, y \in X$,

$$|F_i(x) - F_i(y)| = |d(x, x_i) - d(y, x_i)| \leq d(x, y),$$

where we have used the triangle inequality. From this, we get

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |F_i(x) - F_i(y)|^2 \leq n d(x, y)^2, \quad (2.1)$$

implying that $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

On the other hand, for any $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2 \geq |F_i(x) - F_i(x_i)| = d(x, x_i),$$

Therefore (1.1) is satisfied with $D = \sqrt{n}$.

2.2 Bourgain's embedding

To get improved distortion, we will construct our coordinates out of distances to *subsets* instead of simply to points. For a subset $S \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$, let us define

$$d(x, S) = \min_{y \in S} d(x, y).$$

First, observe that such maps are also 1-Lipschitz: The triangle inequality yields

$$d(x, S) \leq d(y, S) + d(x, y),$$

hence

$$|d(x, S) - d(y, S)| \leq d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X, S \subseteq X. \quad (2.2)$$

For some number $m \leq O(\log n)$ that we will choose later, let

$$\{S_{t,j} : t = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

denote independent random subsets $S_{t,j} \subseteq X$, where $S_{t,j}$ is formed by sampling every point of X independently with probability 2^{-t} . Our embedding is

$$F(x) = \left(d(x, S_{1,1}), \dots, d(x, S_{1,m}), \right. \\ d(x, S_{2,1}), \dots, d(x, S_{2,m}), \\ \dots \\ \left. d(x, S_{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor, 1}), \dots, d(x, S_{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor, m}) \right).$$

From (2.2), we see that

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} \cdot d(x, y) \quad x, y \in X \quad (2.3)$$

(just as in in (2.1)).

We move on to the lower bound. To this end, we define the open and closed balls: For $R \geq 0$,

$$B(x, R) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq R\}, \\ B^\circ(x, R) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < R\}.$$

Fix $x, y \in X$ and for $t = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$, let r_t be the smallest radius such that

$$\max \{|B(x, r_t)|, |B(y, r_t)| \geq 2^t\}.$$

Let t^* be the smallest value of t such that $r_t \geq d(x, y)/4$ and reassign $r_{t^*} = d(x, y)/4$.

Note that

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{4} = r_1 + (r_2 - r_1) + (r_3 - r_2) + \cdots + (r_{t^*} - r_{t^*-1}). \quad (2.4)$$

We will use the sets $S_{t,j}$ to get a contribution of $r_t - r_{t-1}$ to the lower bound, and therefore (2.4) shows we will get a contribution of $\Omega(d(x, y))$.

So consider now some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, t^*\}$. For the sake of analysis, let $r_0 = 0$. Note that, by definition of r_t , we have at least one of $|B(x, r_{t-1})| \geq 2^{t-1}$ or $|B(y, r_{t-1})| \geq 2^{t-1}$. Without loss of generality, assume that it holds for x . It also true that $|B^\circ(y, r_t)| < 2^t$. Let us summarize:

$$\begin{aligned} |B(x, r_{t-1})| &\geq 2^{t-1} \\ |B^\circ(y, r_t)| &< 2^t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S_t \subseteq X$ be a random subset where every point is sampled independently with probability 2^{-t} . Consider the event

$$\mathcal{E}_t = \{S_t \cap B(x, r_{t-1}) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S_t \cap B^\circ(y, r_t) = \emptyset\}.$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{E}_t \text{ occurs} \implies |d(x, S_t) - d(y, S_t)| \geq r_t - r_{t-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Claim 2.1. $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_t) \geq \frac{1}{12}$.

Proof. Observe that $r_t \leq d(x, y)/4$, hence $B(x, r_t)$ and $B(y, r_t)$ are disjoint. In particular, the two events composing \mathcal{E}_t are independent, and it suffices to lower bound their probabilities separately. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_t \cap B(x, r_{t-1}) \neq \emptyset) &\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}(S_t \cap B(x, r_{t-1}) = \emptyset) \\ &= 1 - (1 - 2^{-t})^{|B(x, r_{t-1})|} \\ &\geq 1 - (1 - 2^{-t})^{2^{t-1}} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \geq \frac{1}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(1 - \frac{1}{k})^k \leq \frac{1}{e}$ for $k \geq 1$. Next, calculate

$$\mathbb{P}(S_t \cap B^\circ(y, r_t) = \emptyset) = (1 - 2^{-t})^{|B^\circ(y, r_t)|} \geq (1 - 2^{-t})^{2^t} \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

where we have used $(1 - \frac{1}{k})^k \geq 1/4$ for $k \geq 2$. □

Now let $\mathcal{E}_{t,j}$ be the event corresponding to (2.5) for the set $S_{t,j}$.

Corollary 2.2. *If $\Omega(m)$ of the events $\{\mathcal{E}_{t,j} : j = 1, \dots, m\}$ occur, then*

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_2^2 \geq \Omega(m)(r_t - r_{t-1})^2.$$

We can say something more: If it holds that

$$\Omega(m) \text{ of the events } \{\mathcal{E}_{t,j} : j = 1, \dots, m\} \text{ occur for every } t = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor, \quad (2.6)$$

then since the contributions come from disjoint sets of coordinates,

$$\|F(x)-F(y)\|_2^2 \geq \Omega(m) \sum_{t=1}^{t^*} (r_t - r_{t-1})^2 \geq \Omega\left(\frac{m}{t^*}\right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{t^*} (r_t - r_{t-1})\right)^2 \geq \Omega\left(\frac{m}{t^*}\right) d(x, y)^2 \geq \Omega\left(\frac{m}{\log n}\right) d(x, y)^2.$$

The second inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz, and the third is from (2.4).

Combining this with (2.3), our map has distortion $O(\log n)$ as long as we choose m large enough so that (2.6) holds with probability, say, $1 - 1/n^3$. That's because we can then take a union bound over all possible pairs $x, y \in X$. But since each event $\mathcal{E}_{t,j}$ occurs with probability at least $1/12$, a simple Chernoff bound shows that choosing some $m \leq O(\log n)$ suffices.