Call Graph Soundness in Android Static Analysis

Jordan Samhi¹, René Just², Tegawendé F. Bissyandé³, Michael D. Ernst², Jacques Klein³

¹CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security ²University of Washington ³University of Luxembourg

September, 20th 2024 - ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis (ISSTA)







Basic statistics

- More than 6 billion people own a smartphone
- Almost three-quarters are Android-based
- We manipulate a lot of sensitive data



High Security Risks

bilities

Bugs

Vulnera Malicious Code















How to detect?



Dynamic Analysis



Static Analysis



Dynamic analysis is precise!

*Ernst, Michael D. "Static and dynamic analysis: Synergy and duality." WODA 2003: ICSE Workshop on Dynamic Analysis. 2003



Static analysis is sound!

"Soundness guarantees that analysis results are an accurate

*Ernst, Michael D. "Static and dynamic analysis: Synergy and duality." WODA 2003: ICSE Workshop on Dynamic Analysis. 2003







FlowDroid



- malware detection
- features extraction
- instrumentation
- incompatibility issues
- Type-state issues
- etc.





September, 20th 2024 - Jordan Samhi

ICC

- Li, Li et al. Iccta: Detecting inter-component privacy leaks in android apps. ICSE 2015.

- Wei et al., Amandroid: A precise and general intercomponent data flow analysis framework for security vetting of android apps. *TOPS 2018*.

- Gordon et al. Information flow analysis of android applications in droidsafe. NDSS 2015.

Can you trust this model?

ming reflection to analysis of android

lysis of implicit control ection and android

Callback

- Arzt et al. Flowdroid: Precise context, flow, field, objectsensitive and lifecycle-aware taint analysis for android apps. PLDI 2014.

- Yang et al. Static control-flow analysis of user-driven callbacks in Android applications. ICSE 2015.



Comprehensiveness of Program Analysis n() method n() code Discontinuity call to method m() m() App Code Android Framework





public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity { **@Override** protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) { MyTask myTask = new MyTask(); myTask.execute();





public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity {

@Override

protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) { MyTask myTask = new MyTask(); myTask.execute();





public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity {

@Override

protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) {

MyTask myTask = new MyTask();

myTask.execute();





public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity {
 @Override

protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) {

MyTask myTask = new MyTask();

myTask.execute();





public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity { **@Override**

protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) { MyTask myTask = new MyTask(); myTask.execute();

September, 20th 2024 - Jordan Samhi

public class MyTask extends AsyncTask<Void, Void, String> { **@Override** protected String doInBackground(Void... params) { return "Background task completed";

@Override protected void onPostExecute(String result) { textView.setText(result);



public class MainActivity extends AppCompatActivity { **@Override**

protected void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) { MyTask myTask = new MyTask(); myTask.execute();

September, 20th 2024 - Jordan Samhi

```
public class MyTask extends AsyncTask<Void, Void, String> {
@Override
protected String doInBackground(Void... params) {
    return "Background task completed";
```

@Override

protected void onPostExecute(String result) { textView.setText(result);



execute = = = doInBackground = = = onPostExecute



If static analysis tools do not model these discontinuities, the model is unsound



Objective

Measure and understand the level of unsoundness in Android static analysis tools



HOW?





Dynamic Analysis



Static Analysis



Dataset

Solution = S 🧱 **809** in 🥨 🕅 from 2023 E























Vhere are you going?



call gr























Average Code Coverage





Average Code Coverage



Median Code Coverage











When possible, we parametrized the call graph construction algorithm : **25 configurations**





















$25 \times 1000 = 25000$ **call graphs**



62.90













Apps successfully analyzed by all tools 25 x 126 = 3150 call graphs



		With libraries			Without libraries		
		Avg.	% M.	Avg.	Avg.	% M.	Avg.
		SM	in CG	SE	$ SM^{\neg l} $	in CG	$ SE^{\neg l} $
FlowDroid	CHA	71 051	38%	399 975	6651	66%	48 218
	RTA	71 046	24%	227 493	6651	52%	33 802
	VTA	71 045	18%	109 519	6651	42%	16 788
	SPARK	71 031	5%	15 250	6649	12%	2391
IccTA	CHA	71 051	38%	399 981	6651	66%	48 220
	RTA	71 046	24%	227 541	6651	52%	33 746
	VTA	71 045	18%	109 023	6651	41%	16 703
	SPARK	71 031	5%	15 249	6649	12%	2391
RAICC	CHA	71 051	38%	397 791	6651	66%	47 894
	RTA	71 046	24%	224 574	6651	52%	33 271
	VTA	71 045	19%	111 151	6651	41%	16 605
	SPARK	71 031	6%	16 264	6650	12%	2434
DroidRA	CHA	71 053	38%	397 872	6652	66%	47 903
	RTA	71 048	24%	224 992	6652	52%	33 452
	VTA	71 047	19%	111 188	6652	42%	16 749
	SPARK	71 033	6%	16 437	6650	12%	2491
NatiDroid	CHA	61 758	81%	469 025	4837	88%	40 398
MaMaDroid	SPARK	60 500	5%	12 592	4791	14%	2007
BackDroid	SPARK	60 500	5%	12 592	4791	14%	2007
SootFX	SPARK	61 707	0%	101	4798	1%	9
ACID	SPARK	61 707	8%	54 169	4798	48%	4124
Gator	CHA	110 824	73%	1 920 412	31 342	90%	655 813
Jicer	SPARK	71 144	6%	15 763	6651	11%	2302
ArpDroid	SPARK	60 500	5%	12 593	4791	14%	2007
Difuzer	CHA	60 567	34%	245 987	4809	65%	31 060

Comparison of static analysis tools

- Tools find different numbers of methods in apps
- Some tools supposed to add edges have fewer edges than baselines
- More precise call graph algorithms lead to significantly fewer edges in the call graph
- Tools consider a large proportion of apps as dead code
- The same call graph construction algorithm leads to different call graphs



Comparison



Dynamic Call Graph

Static Call Graph

Comparison

Comparison

methods missed with the biggest over-approximation

Comparison of dynamic and static analysis

- More precise call graph construction algorithms fail at their tasks
- The more precise an algorithm, the more unsound
- CHA-based tools have less unsoundness
- Even if CHA is the biggest over-approximation, it still falls short

• The bigger the code coverage does not mean the bigger the unsoundness

38

What is the cause of this unsound ness?

Remember the dynamic call graph?

Remember the dynamic call graph?

They have no predecessor!

What do these nodes have in common?

We hypothesized that they are one of the main reasons for **unsoundness**

of methods do not have a predecessor, i.e., they are entrypoints

Causes of Unsoundness

- Many methods missed are derived from the Android framework methods
- Many methods missed are derived from framework methods, e.g., Google, Flutter, Ryanheise, or Unity3d
- All static analysis tools miss at least 35% of these entry points
- They represent 20% of all methods missed
- missed methods
- methods: onCreate, read, then, accept, onXXX, write***, apply, execute, etc.

Constructors, obfuscated methods, and lifecycle methods are among the most

• Methods indicative of **implicit mechanisms** are also among the most missed

44

Other languages

Implications for Security

Better Static Code Modeling

Better Static Code Coverage

49

Static analysis is NOT sound!

Most of the icons used in this presentation come from: https://www.flaticon.com

its many research and proving the nalysis tools

