

The W_1 state is the unique ground-state of a local Hamiltonian

Chinmay Nirkhe
University of Washington, *Seattle, Wash.*

A recent work by Gioia and Thorngren [1], shows that the W_1 state,

$$|W_1\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{|0\rangle \dots |0\rangle}_{j-1 \text{ times}} |1\rangle \underbrace{|0\rangle \dots |0\rangle}_{n-j \text{ times}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j |0^n\rangle, \quad (1)$$

cannot be the *unique* ground-state of any 1-dimensional local Hamiltonian. In this short note, we show that there exists a spectrally-gapped 2-local Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{E}_{ij} h_{ij}$ with all-to-all connectivity for which the W_1 state is the unique ground-state. Moreover, the local Hamiltonian term h_{ij} for $i \neq j \in [n]$ is the same term and is defined for a choice of $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$h_{ij} = h(i, j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\theta}{n-2} \cdot |00\rangle\langle 00| + 1 \cdot |\Psi^-\rangle\langle \Psi^-| + 1 \cdot |11\rangle\langle 11| \quad (2)$$

where $|\Psi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle \pm |10\rangle)$ are two of the four Bell states.

Theorem 1. *The 2-local Hamiltonian¹ given by all-to-all connectivity and interaction h given by eq. (2) has a unique ground-state of $|W_1\rangle$ at energy $\frac{\theta}{n}$ and a spectral gap of at least $\frac{2\theta}{n(n-1)}$.*

Proof. To prove this statement, we can define a generalization of the W_1 state to ℓ excitations:

$$|W_\ell\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\binom{n}{\ell}}} \sum_{L \subset [n]: |L|=\ell} X_L |0^n\rangle \quad (3)$$

where $X_L = \prod_{j \in L} X_j$. A simple calculation reveals that

$$|W_\ell\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)}{n(n-1)}} |00\rangle |W_\ell\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2\ell(n-\ell)}{n(n-1)}} |\Psi^+\rangle |W_{\ell-1}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{n(n-1)}} |11\rangle |W_{\ell-2}\rangle \quad (4)$$

and therefore, we can calculate the 2-qubit reduced density matrix of $|W_\ell\rangle$ directly:

$$\text{tr}_{-[2]}(|W_\ell\rangle\langle W_\ell|) = \frac{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)}{n(n-1)} |00\rangle\langle 00| + \frac{2\ell(n-\ell)}{n(n-1)} |\Psi^+\rangle\langle \Psi^+| + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{n(n-1)} |11\rangle\langle 11|. \quad (5)$$

Then, the energy of $|W_1\rangle$ with respect to \mathbf{H} is

$$\langle W_1 | \mathbf{H} | W_1 \rangle = \mathbf{E}_{i,j} \text{tr}(h_{ij} |W_1\rangle\langle W_1|) = \mathbf{E}_{i,j} \text{tr}(h \text{tr}_{-[2]}(|W_1\rangle\langle W_1|)) = \frac{\theta}{n-2} \cdot \frac{n-2}{n} = \frac{\theta}{n}. \quad (6)$$

It remains to show that any orthogonal vector to $|W_1\rangle$ has energy larger than θ/n with respect to \mathbf{H} . First notice that $\mathbf{H} = P(\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{H} P(\pi)$ for any $\pi \in S(n)$. Therefore,

$$\langle \psi | \mathbf{H} | \psi \rangle = \mathbf{E}_{\pi} \text{tr}(P(\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{H} P(\pi) |\psi\rangle\langle \psi|) = \mathbf{E}_{\pi} \text{tr}(\mathbf{H} P(\pi) |\psi\rangle\langle \psi| P(\pi)^{-1}). \quad (7)$$

¹The frustration in this Hamiltonian is necessary given that the reduced density matrix of the W_1 state.

Therefore, the energy of a state ψ equals that of its symmetrized version $\mathbf{E}_\pi P(\pi)^{-1}\psi P(\pi)$. Since the symmetrized state is supported on the symmetric subspace, there exists a symmetric state of equal energy. Therefore, it suffices to show that every orthogonal vector to $|W_1\rangle$ within the symmetric subspace has energy larger than θ/n .

Recall that the symmetric subspace for qubits is spanned by the states $|W_0\rangle, |W_1\rangle, |W_2\rangle, \dots, |W_n\rangle$. Given the orthogonality of the W states of different excitations and the expansion in the basis of h given in eq. (4), it is easy to verify that $\langle W_{\ell'} | h | W_\ell \rangle = 0$ if $\ell \neq \ell'$. Equivalently, the off-diagonal terms in energy calculations in the symmetric subspace are 0. This implies that the energy of the Hamiltonian h must be minimized for some $|W_\ell\rangle$ as the energy of any state $\sum \alpha_\ell |W_\ell\rangle$ will be equal to that of the density matrix $\sum |\alpha_\ell|^2 |W_\ell\rangle\langle W_\ell|$.

We generalize our energy calculation of $|W_1\rangle$ in eq. (6), to calculate that

$$\langle W_\ell | \mathbf{H} | W_\ell \rangle = \frac{\theta}{n-2} \cdot \frac{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)}{n(n-1)} + \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{n(n-1)}. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that this decreases as ℓ decreases. Therefore, $|W_2\rangle$ is the eigenvector of minimal eigenvalue orthogonal to $|W_1\rangle$. Given the eigenvalue of $|W_2\rangle$ due to the previous equation, the spectral gap of \mathbf{H} is at least

$$\frac{\theta}{n} \cdot \frac{(n-3) + 4/\theta}{n-1} - \frac{\theta}{n} \geq \frac{2\theta}{n(n-1)}. \quad (9)$$

□

No “better” 2-local Hamiltonian exists

The issue with the local Hamiltonian term h defined in eq. (2) is that the ratio of eigenvalues of h scale linearly in n . Is it possible to construct a 2-local term without such scaling? This is in fact impossible. Let us restrict ourselves to Hamiltonians h with spectrum bounded $\in [0, 1]$.

To see this, consider a 2-local Hamiltonian \mathbf{H}' with the property that

$$\langle W_1 | \mathbf{H}' | W_1 \rangle < \min \{ \langle W_0 | \mathbf{H}' | W_0 \rangle, \langle W_2 | \mathbf{H}' | W_2 \rangle, \langle W_3 | \mathbf{H}' | W_3 \rangle, \dots \}. \quad (10)$$

Notice that for any such \mathbf{H}' , the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}'' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E}_{\pi \in S(n)} P(\pi)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{H}} P(\pi)$ has the property that $\langle W_\ell | \mathbf{H}'' | W_\ell \rangle = \langle W_\ell | \mathbf{H}' | W_\ell \rangle$ and \mathbf{H}'' is 2-local Hamiltonian where every local term is the same. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can restrict to considering such Hamiltonians $\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{E}_{ij} h'(ij)$ Given the local interaction term h' , define

$$E_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle 00 | h' | 00 \rangle, \quad E_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \Psi^+ | h' | \Psi^+ \rangle, \quad E_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle 11 | h' | 11 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Then, the equations $\langle W_1 | \mathbf{H}' | W_1 \rangle < \langle W_0 | \mathbf{H}' | W_0 \rangle$ and $\langle W_1 | \mathbf{H}' | W_1 \rangle < \langle W_2 | \mathbf{H}' | W_2 \rangle$ are equivalent to

$$\frac{n-2}{n} E_0 + \frac{2}{n} E_1 < E_0 \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{n-2}{n} E_0 + \frac{2}{n} E_1 < \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{n(n-1)} E_0 + \frac{4(n-2)}{n(n-1)} E_1 + \frac{2}{n(n-1)} E_2. \quad (12b)$$

These are equivalent to

$$E_1 < E_0 \quad (13a)$$

$$E_0 < \left(\frac{n-3}{n-2} \right) E_1 + \frac{1}{n-2} E_2. \quad (13b)$$

To minimize the energy of W_1 and maximize the energy of W_0 and W_2 with respect to h , it is optimal to set $E_2 = 1$. Setting E_1 to be anything > 0 is equivalent to adding a global energy penalty, so we can without loss of generality assume $E_1 = 0$ which forces $E_0 < \frac{1}{n-2}$. This is precisely what we show in our definition of h in eq. (2).

1 W states of two different types of excitations

Consider a qudit system of local dimension $d + 1$ with a basis $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |d\rangle$ for the local dimension. A natural generalization of a W state to a qudit system could be

$$|W_1(\vec{a})\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{|0\rangle \dots |0\rangle}_{j-1 \text{ times}} |a_j\rangle \underbrace{|0\rangle \dots |0\rangle}_{n-j \text{ times}} \quad (14)$$

where $\vec{a} \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}^n$ is a description of the excitation type. For any particular choice of \vec{a} , this state is the ground-state of a local Hamiltonian by simply adjusting the previous argument. Furthermore, we could even construct a local Hamiltonian whose ground-state enforces a particular superposition over excitations at site j .

But it seems hard to generate a local Hamiltonian which allows in its ground-space any state $|W_1(\vec{a})\rangle$.

References

- [1] Lei Gioia and Ryan Thorngren. W state is not the unique ground state of any local hamiltonian, 2023.