<section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header>	 This week: Program analysis Reasoning about programs (Today) Statistical fault localization (Wednesday) In-class exercise (Friday)
	Recap: Delta Debugging $\frac{\text{Step Test case}}{1 \Delta_1 = \nabla_2 1 2 3 4 \dots M Testing \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$ Subsets

Recap: Delta Debugging

Step	Test case										_
1	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2	3	4					Testing Δ_1, Δ_2	Subooto
2	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$					5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity	Joubsels
3	Δ_1	1	2							Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$	
4	Δ_2			3	4						
5	Δ_3					5	6				
6	Δ_4							7	8		
7	∇_1			3	4	5	6	7	8	Testing complements	
8	∇_2	1	2			5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$	
9	Δ_1	1	2			÷				Testing $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$	
10	Δ_2					5	6			* same test carried out in an earlier step	
11	Δ_3							7	8		
12	∇_1					5	6	7	8	Testing complements	
13	∇_2	1	2					7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 2$	
14	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2			÷				Testing Δ_1, Δ_2	
15	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$							7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity	
16	Δ_1	1							D.	Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$	
17	Δ_2		2								
18	Δ_3							7			
19	Δ_4								8		
20	∇_1		2					7	8	Testing complements	
21	∇_2	1						7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$	
22	Δ_1	1	•							Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_3$	
23	Δ_2							7			
24	Δ_3								8		
25	∇_1							7	8	Testing complements	
26	∇_2	1							8		
27	∇_3	1						7		Done	
Resu	lt	1						7	8	T	

Recap: Delta Debugging

Step	Test case										
1	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2	3	4					Testing Δ_1, Δ_2	
2	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$					5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity	
 3	Δ_1	1	2		•					Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$)
4	Δ_2			3	4						Granularity
5	Δ_3					5	6				
6	Δ_4							7	8		
7	∇_1	3		3	4	5	6	7	8	Testing complements	
8	∇_2	1	2			5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$	
9	Δ_1	1	2						а.	Testing $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$	
10	Δ_2					5	6			* same test carried out in an earlier step	
11	Δ_3							7	8		
12	∇_1					5	6	7	8	Testing complements	
13	∇_2	1	2					7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 2$	
14	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2						а.	Testing Δ_1, Δ_2	
15	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$							7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity	
16	Δ_1	1								Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$	
17	Δ_2		2								
18	Δ_3							7			
19	Δ_4								8		
20	∇_1		2					7	8	Testing complements	
21	∇_2	1						7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$	
22	Δ_1	1								Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_3$	
23	Δ_2							7			
24	Δ_3								8		
25	∇_1							7	8	Testing complements	
26	∇_2	1							8		
27	∇_3	1						7		Done	
Result		1						7	8	Γ	

Recap: Delta Debugging

Step	Test case															
1	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2	3	4					Testing Δ_1, Δ_2						
2	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$					5	6	7	8	$\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \text{ Increase granularity} \\ \text{Testing } \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_4 \end{array}$						
3	Δ_1	1	2	•	•											
4	Δ_2	а.		3	4				а.							
5	Δ_3					5	6									
 6	Δ_4							7	8							
7	∇_1			3	4	5	6	7	8	Testing complements						
8	∇_2	1	2			5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$						
 9	Δ_1	1	2							Testing $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$						
10	Δ_2					5	6			* same test carried out in an earlier step						
11	Δ_3							7	8							
12	∇_1					5	6	7	8	Testing complements						
13	∇_2	1	2					7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 2$						
14	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2		141				ь.	Testing Δ_1, Δ_2						
15	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$							7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity						
16	Δ_1	1								Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$						
17	Δ_2		2													
18	Δ_3							7								
19	Δ_4								8							
20	∇_1		2					7	8	Testing complements						
21	∇_2	1						7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$						
22	Δ_1	1								Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_3$						
23	Δ_2							7								
24	Δ_3								8							
25	∇_1							7	8	Testing complements						
26	∇_2	1							8	· ·						
27	∇_3	1						7		Done						
Result	:	1						7	8							

Recap: Delta Debugging



Recap: Delta Debugging

	Step	Test case								Ĩ	
	1	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2	3	4					Testing Δ_1, Δ_2
	2	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$					5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity
	3	Δ_1	1	2							Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$
	4	Δ_2			3	4					2000 - 1911 - 201 - 102
	5	Δ_3					5	6			
	6	Δ_4							7	8	
	7	∇_1			3	4	5	6	7	8	Testing complements
	8	∇_2	1	2			5	6	7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$
	9	Δ_1	1	2							Testing $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$
	10	Δ_2					5	6			* same test carried out in an earlier step
	11	Δ_3							7	8	-
	12	∇_1					5	6	7	8	Testing complements
	13	∇_2	1	2					7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 2$
	14	$\Delta_1 = \nabla_2$	1	2							Testing Δ_1, Δ_2
	15	$\Delta_2 = \nabla_1$							7	8	\Rightarrow Increase granularity
	16	Δ_1	1								Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_4$
	17	Δ_2		2							
	18	Δ_3							7		
	19	Δ_4								8	
	20	∇_1		2					7	8	Testing complements
	21	∇_2	1						7	8	\Rightarrow Reduce to $c'_{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_2$; continue with $n = 3$
	22	Δ_1	1	•							Testing $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_3$
	23	Δ_2							7		
	24	Δ_3								8	
	25	∇_1							7	8	Testing complements
	26	∇_2	1			•				8	
\boldsymbol{C}	27	∇_3	1						7		Done
	Result	t	1						7	8	

Delta debugging: discussion

- Applicability: Is this useful (as a concept and/or automated tool)?
- Optimality: minimal vs. minimum test case.
- Complexity: Best-case vs. worst-case.
- Assumptions: monotonicity and determinism.



Reasoning about programs

Use cases

- Verification/testing: ensure code is correct
- Prove facts to be true, e.g.:
 - x is never null
 - $\circ~$ y is always greater than 0
 - \circ input array a is sorted
- Debugging: understand why code is incorrect

Reasoning about programs

Use cases

- Verification/testing: ensure code is correct
- Prove facts to be true, e.g.:
 - \circ x is never null
 - \circ y is always greater than 0
 - \circ input array a is sorted
- Debugging: understand why code is incorrect

Approaches

- Testing (403)
- (Delta) Debugging (403)
- Fault localization (403)
- Abstract interpretation (primer in 403, covered in 503)
- Theorem proving (primer in 403, covered in 507)
- ...

Reasoning about programs

Forward vs. backward reasoning

Forward reasoning

- Knowing: a fact that is true before execution.
- Reasoning: what must be true after execution.
- Given a precondition, what postcondition(s) are true?

Forward vs. backward reasoning



Forward reasoning

- Knowing: a fact that is true before execution.
- Reasoning: what must be true after execution.
- Given a precondition, what postcondition(s) are true?

Backward reasoning

- Knowing: a fact that is true after execution.
- Reasoning: what must have been true before execution.
- Given a postcondition, what precondition(s) must hold?

What are the pros and cons for each approach?

Forward vs. backward reasoning

Forward reasoning

- More intuitive for most people
- Helps understand what will happen (simulates the code)
- Introduces facts that may be irrelevant to the goal
- Set of current facts may get large
- Takes longer to realize that the task is hopeless

Backward reasoning

- Usually more helpful
- Helps understand what should happen
- Given a specific goal, indicates how to achieve it
- Given an error, gives a test case that exposes it

Pre/Post-conditions and Invariants

Terminology

Pre-condition (to a function)

- A condition that must be true when entering (the function)
- May include expectations about the arguments

Post-condition (to a function)

• A condition that must be true when leaving (the function)

Terminology

Pre-condition (to a function)

- A condition that must be true when entering (the function)
- May include expectations about the arguments

Post-condition (to a function)

• A condition that must be true when leaving (the function)

Loop invariant

- A condition that must be true for every loop iteration
- Must be true at the beginning and end of the loop body

Terminology

Pre-condition (to a function)

- A condition that must be true when entering (the function)
- May include expectations about the arguments

Post-condition (to a function)

• A condition that must be true when leaving (the function)

Loop invariant

- A condition that must be true for every loop iteration
- Must be true at the beginning and end of the loop body

Pre-conditions define execution validity. Post-conditions and loop invariants define expected properties of a correct implementation, given a valid execution.

Pre-conditions and post-conditions





What are pre-conditions and post-conditions of this method (at the entry and exit points)?

Pre-conditions and post-conditions

1 double avgAbs(double[] nums) { 2 int n = nums.length; double sum = 0;3 4 5 int i = 0; while (i != n) { 6 if(nums[i]>0) { 7 sum = sum + nums[i]; 8 else { 9 sum = sum - nums[i]; 10 11 } i = i + 1;12 13 } 14 return sum / n; 15 16 }

```
Pre-conditions
    nums is not null
    nums.length > 0
Post-conditions
    nums has not changed
    n > 0
    sum >= 0
    return value >= 0
```

• ...







Does this loop terminate? What are pre-conditions, post-conditions, and loop invariants?

Summary

Pre-condition (to a function)

- A condition that must be true when entering (the function)
- May include expectations about the arguments

Post-condition (to a function)

• A condition that must be true when leaving (the function)

Loop invariant

- A condition that must be true for every loop iteration
- Must be true at the beginning and end of the loop body

Dynamic vs. static analysis

How are these related to software testing and debugging?

Dynamic vs. static analysis: overview

Dynamic analysis

- Reason about the program based on some program executions.
- Observe concrete behavior at run time.
- Improve confidence in correctness.

Dynamic vs. static analysis: overview

Dynamic analysis

- Reason about the program based on some program executions.
- Observe concrete behavior at run time.
- Improve confidence in correctness.

Static analysis

- Reason about the program without executing it.
- Build an abstraction of run-time states.
- Reason over abstract domain.
- Prove a property of the program.

Soundly approximate program behavior.

Dynamic vs. static analysis: overview

Dynamic analysis

- Reason about the program based on some program executions.
- Observe concrete behavior at run time.
- Improve confidence in correctness.

Static analysis

- Reason about the program without executing it.
- Build an abstraction of run-time states.
- Reason over abstract domain.
- Prove a property of the program.

y = x++ [y:=2, x:=3]

[y:=2, x:=2]

Dynamic vs. static analysis: overview

Dynamic analysis

- Reason about the program based on some program executions.
- Observe concrete behavior at run time.
- Improve confidence in correctness.

Static analysis

- Reason about the program without executing it.
- Build an abstraction of run-time states.
 - Reason over abstract domain.
- Prove a property of the program.

<y is even, x is even>

y = x++

<y is even, x is odd>

Dynamic vs. static analysis: overview

Dynamic analysis

- Reason about the program based on some program executions.
- Observe concrete behavior at run time.
- Improve confidence in correctness.

Static analysis

- Reason about the program without executing it.
- Build an abstraction of run-time states. The statement "f returns a
- Reason over abstract domain.
- Prove a property of the program.

non-negative value" is weaker (but easier to establish) than the statement "f returns the absolute value of its argument".

Dynamic analysis: examples

Software testing

```
double avg(double[] nums) {
  int n = nums.length;
  double sum = 0;
```

int i = 0; while (i<n) sum = sum + nums[i]; i = i + 1;

double avg = sum / n;

return avg;

A test for the avg function:

@Test
public void testAvg() {
 double nums =
 new double[]{1.0, 2.0, 3.0});
 double actual = Math.avg(nums);
 double expected = 2.0;
 assertEquals(expected,actual,EPS);

Static analysis: examples Static analysis: examples Apple's "goto fail" bug: static OSStatus static OSStatus Anything wrong with a security vulnerability SSLVerifySignedServerKeyExchange(...) { SSLVerifySignedServerKeyExchange(...) { this code? OSStatus err: OSStatus err: for 2 years! if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &clientRandom)) != 0) if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &clientRandom)) != 0) goto fail; goto fail; if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &serverRandom)) != 0) if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &serverRandom)) != 0) goto fail; goto fail; if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &signedParams)) != 0) if //err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &signedParams)) != 0) goto fail; goto fail; goto fail: goto fail: if ((err - SSLHashSHA1.final(&hashCtx, &hashOut)) != 0) if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.final(&hashCtx, &hashOut)) != 0) goto fail; goto fail; err = sslRawVerify(ctx, ctx->peerPubKey, dataToSign, dataToSignLen, signature, signatureLen); err = sslRawVerify(ctx, ctx->peerPubKey, dataToSign, dataToSignLen, signature, signatureLen); if(err) { if(err) { sslErrorLog("SSLDecodeSignedServerKeyExchange: sslRawVerify returned %d\n", (int)err); sslErrorLog("SSLDecodeSignedServerKeyExchange: sslRawVerify returned %d\n", (int)err); goto fail; goto fail; } fail: fail: SSLFreeBuffer(&signedHashes); SSLFreeBuffer(&signedHashes); SSLFreeBuffer(&hashCtx); SSLFreeBuffer(&hashCtx); return err; return err; }

Static analysis: examples

Rule/pattern-based analysis (PMD, Findbugs, Error Prone, etc.)



Compiler: type checking

Static analysis: examples

double avg(double[] nums) {
 int n = nums.length;
 double sum = 0;

int i = 0.0; while (i<n) { sum = sum + nums[i]; i = i + 1; }

double avg = sum / n;

return avg;



Dynamic vs. static analysis: summary

Dynamic analysis

- Concrete domain
- Does not generalize
- Slow if exhaustive

Static analysis

- Abstract domain
- Sound but imprecise
- Slow if precise