

CSE 403

Software Engineering

Advanced program analysis

**A primer on solver-aided reasoning
and verification**



What is a SAT solver?

What is a SAT solver?

- Takes a **formula** (propositional logic) as input.

$$(x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3)$$

What is a SAT solver?

- Takes a **formula** (propositional logic) as input.
- Returns a **model** (an assignment that satisfies the formula).

$(x1 \vee x2) \wedge (\neg x1 \vee x3) \wedge (x1 \vee \neg x3) \wedge (\neg x2 \vee \neg x3)$



SAT solver



$X = \{x1, x2, x3\} = \{T, F, T\}$

What is Z3?

- An SMT (Satisfiability Modulo Theories) solver.
 - Supports formulas for more complex data types
 - Theories for Integers, Strings, Arrays, etc.

What is Z3?

- An SMT (Satisfiability Modulo Theories) solver.
 - Supports formulas for more complex data types
 - Theories for Integers, Strings, Arrays, etc.
 - Examples for Integers:
 - $a * 1 = a$ (identity element)
 - $a + 0 = a$ (identity element)

What is Z3?

- An SMT (Satisfiability Modulo Theories) solver.
- Uses a standard language (SMT-LIB).
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)
```

What is Z3?

- An SMT (Satisfiability Modulo Theories) solver.
- Uses a standard language (SMT-LIB).
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.
 - **Define constraints**.

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)  
(assert (> a 0))
```

What is Z3?

- An SMT (Satisfiability Modulo Theories) solver.
- Uses a standard language (SMT-LIB).
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.
 - **Define constraints**.
 - **Check satisfiability** and **obtain a model**.
 - ...

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)  
(assert (> a 0))  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Which question does this code answer?

A first example

```
1 int simpleMath(int a, int b) {  
2   assert(b>0);  
3   if(a + b == a * b) {  
4     return 1;  
5   }  
6   return 0;  
7 }
```

Does this method ever return 1?

A first example

```
1 int simpleMath(int a, int b) {  
2   assert(b>0);  
3   if(a + b == a * b) {  
4     return 1;  
5   }  
6   return 0;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
(assert (> b 0))  
(assert (= (+ a b) (* a b)))  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Does this method ever return 1? Let's ask Z3...

A more complex example



```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2   if (c==0) return 0;  
3   if (c==4) return 0;  
4   if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5   if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6   if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7   return 4;  
8 }
```

Does this method ever return 3?
What constraints must be satisfied?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add1(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int add2(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

Are these two methods semantically equivalent?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add1(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int add2(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
(declare-const add1 Int)  
(declare-const add2 Int)  
  
(assert (= add1 (+ a b)))  
(assert (= add2 (* a b)))  
(assert (= add1 add2))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Are these two methods semantically equivalent?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add1(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int add2(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
(declare-const add1 Int)  
(declare-const add2 Int)  
  
(assert (= add1 (+ a b)))  
(assert (= add2 (* a b)))  
(assert (= add1 add2))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Yes, for a=2 and b=2.
What have we actually proven here?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add1(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int add2(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
  
(declare-const add1 Int)  
(declare-const add2 Int)  
  
(assert (= add1 (+ a b)))  
(assert (= add2 (* a b)))  
(assert (not (= add1 add2)))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

For **universal claims**, our goal is to **prove** the absence of counter examples (i.e., the defined constraints are **unsat**)!

Summary

- Solver-aided reasoning is used for testing and verification.
 - SMT solvers:
 - Provide one solution, if one exists.
 - Are commonly used to find counter-examples (or prove unsat).
 - Support many theories that can model program semantics.
 - Usually support a standard language (SMT-lib).
 - The challenge is to model a problem as a constraint system.
- A few examples:
- Statistical test selection
 - Data-structure synthesis
 - Program synthesis
- Many higher-level DSLs and language bindings exist.