# **CSE P 590** Building Data Analysis Pipelines

### Fall 2024



Advanced statistical modeling



# Today

#### • Homework 1: big picture

- A first end-to-end data analysis
- Domain and data set
- Modeling and statistical methods
- Live demo: Data modeling
- Homework 1: brainstorming

# Homework 1: big picture

### What is Defects4J?

### What is APR?

### What is the data set?

### What is Defects4J?

Database of Existing Faults to Enable Controlled Testing Studies For Java programs

- 1. Database 854 defects (17 software systems)
  - Linked to issues in an issue tracker
  - Reproducible with known triggering test(s)
  - Isolated defects (excl. irrelevant changes)

Suitable for benchmarking testing/debugging approaches.

- 2. Supporting infrastructure
  - Uniform interface to checkout, compile, and analyze defects
  - Support for large-scale experimentation
  - Defect-mining infrastructure plus guidelines and validation

#### **Defects4J over time**

Defects4J -- version 3.0.0 CR Run CI tests passing

 Output
 Openation
 Openation

-



**Key focus of HW1: Differences between these versions.** 

#### **Building Defects4J: how hard can it be?**



### **Building Defects4J: how hard can it be?**

#### **Real-world programs**

- Complex build systems
- Build dependencies
- Broken and flaky tests
- Non-atomic commits



Automated defect mining is easy, but curation is hard!

### **Building Defects4J: benchmark curation**

#### Curation

- **Defect isolation**: separate bug fix from features/refactorings
- Clean test suite: remove broken and flaky tests

#### **Usability and experimental control**

- Improve precision of bug (fix) location and complexity
- Reduce false-positives (triggering tests)



**Benchmark curation: design considerations** 

#### **Internal validity**

**Experimental control** 

#### **External validity**

#### Realism





### What is Defects4J?

### What is APR?

### What is the data set?

# **APR: Automated Program Repair**

#### Goal: patch software bugs automatically



#### **Generate-and-validate Approaches:**

- Fault localization
- Mutation + fitness evaluation
- Patch validation (test executions)

Many different approaches and evaluations (10+ years of research)

### What is Defects4J?

### What is APR?

### What is the data set?



#### Data: Mapping of *Tool x Bug* to *Outcome*



#### Let's drill deeper: benchmark composition



Data: Mapping of *Tool x Bug* to *Outcome – grouped by Project* 



#### How would you (statistically) analyze the data?

# APR evaluation: one option (ANOVA and Tukey HSD)





### **ANOVA: Motivation**



Are the group means significantly different? (Do all 3 group samples come from the same population?)

### **ANOVA: ANalysis Of VAriance**



ANOVA: Is there a significant difference between some groups? Post-hoc: What groups are significantly different from one another?

# **ANOVA and Tukey HSD**





# APR evaluation: an alternative (LM)

### (Generalized) Linear Model

- Split the data set by groups.
- Model outcome as a function of variables of interest.



# LM: Linear regression models

#### Assumptions

- Linearity
- Normality (residuals)
- Homoscedasticity (residuals)
- Independence (observations)
- Little to no multicollinearity (for inference).

# LM: Linear regression models

#### Interpretation of results

- Model fit: goodness of fit (R<sup>2</sup>)
- Inference: significance of coefficients



Which fitted linear model is "better"?

# APR evaluation: another alternative (GLMM)

### (Generalized) Linear Mixed Model

- Model fixed and random effects.
- Allow intercepts and/or slopes to vary.



# **Data modeling: live demo**

# Homework 1: brainstorming

# HW1: An end-to-end data analysis



#### Goal

- Raise questions about terminology and concepts.
- Raise questions about the data set or data generation process.
- Raise questions about modeling challenges.

#### Set up

- Small groups (~6 students)
- Discuss and document open questions: <u>https://tinyurl.com/abkwan7n</u>