# **Inferring Mutant Utility from Program Context**

René Just\*, Bob Kurtz<sup>†</sup>, Paul Ammann<sup>†</sup>

\*University of Massachusetts, Amherst <sup>†</sup>George Mason University



July 12, 2017



# Automatic program repair



# Automatic program repair



# Automatic program repair



**Goal:** generate **mutants** that **improve** the **functional correctness** of the original program.

## Mutation-based test generation



## Mutation-based test generation



## Mutation-based test generation



Goal: generate strong tests using hard-to-detect mutants.

# Selecting a set of effective mutants

## Goals:

- 1. Generate mutants that improve functional correctness.
- 2. Generate mutants that are **hard to detect**.

Selecting a set of effective mutants

### Goals:

- 1. Generate mutants that improve functional correctness.
- 2. Generate mutants that are hard to detect.

## Problem:

 Many mutants are non compilable, trivially crashing, or equivalent seless and costly mutants.

## **Existing strategies:**

- Selective mutation (e.g., pattern-based mutation).
- Program-independent and no better than random.

Gopinath et al., ICSE'16, Kurtz et al., FSE'16

Selecting a set of effective mutants

### Goals:

- 1. Generate mutants that improve functional correctness.
- 2. Generate mutants that are hard to detect.

## Problem:

 Many mutants are non compilable, trivially crashing, or equivalent seless and costly mutants.

## **Existing strategies:**

- Selective mutation (e.g., pattern-based mutation).
- Program-independent and no better than random.

#### **Hypothesis: Program context matters!**

Gopinath et al., ICSE'16, Kurtz et al., FSE'16

## Program context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
}
return sum / nums.length;
```



#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

# Mutation operator

 $lhs < rhs \longrightarrow lhs != rhs$ 

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

# Mutation operator Lhs < rhs Lhs != rhs equivalent non-equivalent

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
return sum / nums.length;
```



Context: kind of lexically enclosing statement (for vs. if)

## Program context: Children context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

#### **Mutation operator**

 $lhs < rhs \longrightarrow lhs <= rhs$ 

# Program context: Children context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

# Mutation operator Lhs < rhs Lhs <= rhs trivial equivalent

Program context: Children context



Context: kind of operands (identifier vs. operator vs. literal)

## Program context: Data type context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

#### **Mutation operator**



## Program context: Data type context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

#### Mutation operator



Program context: Data type context

#### **Original program**

```
public double getAbsAvg(double[] nums) {
double sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {</pre>
  if (nums[i] < 0) {
    sum -= nums[i];
  } else {
    sum += nums[i];
  }
return sum / nums.length;
```

# Mutation operator <sup>0</sup> -1 <u>non-trivial</u> trivial

#### Context: data type (double vs. int)

Program context: Summary

Mutation operator effectiveness differs, even within a single method.

**Program context matters!** 

#### **Different dimensions of program context**

- **Parent context**: Kind of lexically enclosing statement(s).
- Data type context: Data types of operators and operands.
- Children context: Kind of operands.





• Parent context





- Parent context
- Data type context
- Children context

# Mutant utility

- 1. Equivalence: equivalent mutants have low utility.
- 2. Triviality: trivially crashing mutants have low utility.
- 3. Dominance: dominator mutants have high utility.

# Mutant utility

- 1. Equivalence: equivalent mutants have low utility.
- 2. Triviality: trivially crashing mutants have low utility.
- 3. Dominance: dominator mutants have high utility.



# Mutant utility

- 1. Equivalence: equivalent mutants have low utility.
- 2. Triviality: trivially crashing mutants have low utility.
- 3. Dominance: dominator mutants have high utility.



Is program context predictive of mutant utility?

Determining ground truth (equivalence, triviality, dominance)

- Approximations using extensive test suites.
- 95+% statement coverage.

Selected subjects: 97 unique classes (4 real-world projects)

- 15,000 test cases
  - 64 test cases cover each mutant, on average
  - 23 test cases detect each mutant, on average

80,000 generated mutants (129 mutation operators)

http://defects4j.org

# Recall the high-level goal



# Expected mutant utility: context-based vs. random



Context-based selection

## Expected mutant utility: context-based vs. random

1.0 -

0.8-

dominator 0.4

1011 H. 0.4

0.Ź

0.000.0



Context-based selection

Random selection

Ò.2

0.8

0.6

non-equivalent

Full experimental details in the paper.

# Future work: what's next?

#### More complex program context models

- Scope and visibility
- Control and data flow

### **Train effective machine learning classifiers**

#### Integrate into downstream techniques



# Inferring mutant utility from program context







#### Future work: what's next?

#### More complex program context models

- Scope and visibility
- Control and data flow

#### Train effective machine learning classifiers

#### Integrate into downstream techniques

