**Counting and Sampling** Lecture 14: Barvinok's Method: A Deterministic Algorithm for Permanent Lecturer: Shayan Oveis Gharan November 15th

**Disclaimer**: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications.

In this lecture we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 14.1.** For any  $\delta < 0.5$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$|1 - A_{i,j}| \le \delta, \forall i, j$$

there exists a polynomial  $p_{n,\delta,\epsilon}$  of degree  $O(\ln n - \ln \epsilon)$  such that

 $|\ln \operatorname{per} A - p(A)| \le \epsilon.$ 

Furthermore, the polynomial p(A) can be computed in quasi-polynomial time in n.

Recall that the theorem of Jerrum-Sinclair-Vigoda [JSV04] shows that as long as  $A \ge 0$  we can use MCMC technique to give a  $1 + \epsilon$  approximation to per(A). But, if the entries of A can be negative (or even a complex number) we have no other tool besides this theorem to estimate per(A).

To prove this theorem, we use an elegant machinery of Barvinok. A weaker version of this theorem first appeared in [Bar16]. Parts of the proof that we are going to present here is from a more recent proof in [Bar17]. In the future lectures we will see many more applications of this machinery in other counting problems.

## 14.1Estimating a Polynomial in the Zero Free Region

Essentially Lemma 14.2 shows that because the polynomial q(z) is zero-free around zero the first few coefficients have enough information to estimate the polynomial in this regions.

**Lemma 14.2.** Let g(z) be a (complex) polynomial of degree d and suppose  $g(z) \neq 0$  for all  $|z| \leq \beta$  where  $\beta > 1$ . Consider degree m taylor approximation of  $f(z) = \ln q(z)$ ,

$$p_m(z) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{d^k}{dz^k} f(z)|_{z=0} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

Then, for all  $|z| \leq 1$ ,

$$|f(z) - p_m(z)| \le \frac{d}{(m+1)\beta^m(\beta-1)}$$

In other words, for  $m = O_{\beta}(\ln d/\epsilon)$ ,  $p_m(z)$  approximates f(z) up to an additive  $\epsilon$  error. Note that if  $\beta$  is very close to 1, we need to choose  $m = O(\frac{1}{1-\beta} \ln(d\beta/\epsilon))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r_1, \ldots, r_d$  be the roots of g(z). So,

$$g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (r_i - z) = g(0) \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - z/r_i).$$

Fall 2017

So,

$$f(z) = \ln g(z) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln(1 - z/r_i)$$

Expanding the taylor series of the logarithm up to degree n,

$$\ln(1 - z/r_i) = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{z^k}{kr_i^k} + \zeta_{i,m},$$

and we can upper bound  $\zeta_{i,m}$  by

$$\zeta_{i,m} = \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k r_i^k} \right| \le \frac{1}{(m+1)\beta^m (\beta - 1)}$$

where we used that  $|z| \leq 1$  and that  $|r_i| \geq \beta$ . It follows that

$$f(z) = f(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z^k}{kr_i^k} + \zeta_m,$$

where  $\zeta_m \leq \frac{d}{(m+1)\beta^m(\beta-1)}$ . Finally, observe that the above equation gives the taylor series of f(z) up to degree m, so

$$\frac{1}{k!}\frac{d^k}{dz^k}f(z)|_{z=0} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{kr_i^k}.$$

This completes the proof.

To compute the polynomial  $p_m$  we need to know the first m derivates of f at z = 0. Here, we show that if we have access to the first m derivatives of g at z = 0 we can use them to efficiently compute the first mderivatives of  $f = \ln g$  at z = 0. The idea is to just use a system of linear equations. First observe that

$$f'(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \Rightarrow g'(z) = f'(z)g(z)$$

In general one can observe that

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k}g(z)|_{z=0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \left(\frac{d^{k-j}}{dz^{k-j}}f(z)|_{z=0}\right) \left(\frac{d^j}{dz^j}g(z)|_{z=0}\right)$$

So, in particular,

$$g''(0) = g'(0)f'(0) + f''(0)g(0),$$
  

$$g'''(0) = g''(0)f'(0) + 2g'(0)f''(0) + g(0)f'''(0), \dots$$

So, from the above we can compute all n derivatives of f at z = 0 in  $O(m^2)$  time. In the next lecture we will discuss an extension of the polynomial approximation method under a somewhat weaker assumption on the no-root region of the polynomial g(z).

## 14.2 Approximating Permanent with a Low Degree Polynomial

Armed with Lemma 14.2 all we need to do to prove Theorem 14.1 is to construct a polynomial g(z) such that at for some  $|z| \leq 1$ , g(z) = per(A), and that  $g(z) \neq 0$  for all  $|z| \leq \beta$  for some  $\beta > 1$ .

Consider the following polynomial:

$$g(z) = \operatorname{per}(J + z(A - J)),$$

where  $J \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the all-ones matrix. The following facts are immediate:

$$g(0) = per(J) = n!,$$
  

$$g(1) = per(A).$$

So, all we need to do is to estimate g(1). Now, we need to show that g has no roots in the ball of a radius  $\beta > 1$  around the origin. This is in fact the main technical part of the proof.

**Theorem 14.3.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . There exists an absolute constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if for all i, j

$$|1 - A_{i,j}| \le \delta_0,$$

then  $per(A) \neq 0$ .

We will see later that in the proof of the above theorem we can let  $\delta_0 \ge 0.5$ .

Before proving the above theorem first we use it to prove Theorem 14.1. Let  $\beta = \frac{\delta_0}{\delta}$ . First observe that for any z such that  $|z| \leq \beta$  and for any i, j we have

$$|(J + z(A - J))_{i,j} - 1| = |1 + z(A_{i,j} - 1) - 1| = |z(A_{i,j} - 1)| \le |z| \cdot |A_{i,j} - 1| \le \frac{\delta_0}{\delta} \cdot \delta = 1.$$

Therefore, by the above theorem for any  $|z| \leq \beta$ ,  $g(z) \neq 0$ . Now, by Lemma 14.2 for  $m = O(\frac{1}{1-\beta} \ln(n\beta/\epsilon))$  and

$$p_m(z) = n! + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \ln g(z)|_{z=0} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

satisfies  $|\ln g(z) - p_m(z)| \le \epsilon$ . So, all we need to do is to compute the k-th derivative of  $\ln g(z)$  for  $k \le m$ . As we discussed in the previous section, equivalently, it is enough to know the k-th derivative g(z) for  $k \le m$ .

We can write

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k}g(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + z(A_{i,\sigma_i} - 1)) = k!(n-k)! \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k)\\(j_1,\dots,j_k)}} (A_{i_1,j_1} - 1)\dots(A_{i_k,j_k} - 1),$$

where the last sum is over all pairs of ordered k subsets  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  and  $(j_1, \ldots, j_k)$  of indices between  $1, \ldots, n$ . The (n-k)! constant is because each such pair appears in exactly (n-k)! many permutations and the k! is because of differentiating  $z^k$ , k times. Note that in other words, the RHS of the above is just proportional to the sum of the permanents of all  $k \times k$  submatrices of the matrix A - J. Therefore we can compute  $g^{(k)}(0)$  in time  $n^O(k)$ . For  $k \leq m$  this can be done in quasi-polynomial time. This completes the proof of Theorem 14.1.

## 14.3 Zero Free Region of Permanent

In this section we prove Theorem 14.3. This part is in a sense the only part of the proof which heavily depends on the permanent as a function. As we will see in future in several applications of the Barvinok's method typically one can compute the first  $\log(n/\epsilon)$  coefficients of the corresponding polynomial in quasipolynomial time and some cases in polynomial time. Therefore, the main nontrivial part of the proof is to find zero-free region for the that polynomial.

The proof is by a clever induction. Let  $\mathcal{U}_n$  be the set of all  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  complex matrices such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , and for all i, j

$$|1 - A_{i,j}| \le \delta_0.$$

We want to induct on n. Ideally, we would just need that for all  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $\operatorname{per}(A) \neq 0$ . But that is not enough for the induction. We strengthen the hypothesis assuming that for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$  that differ in one row or one column only, the angle between  $\operatorname{per}(A)$ ,  $\operatorname{per}(B)$  does not exceed  $\alpha$ . The means that if we consider each complex number  $\operatorname{per}(A)$  as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the angle between any two vectors corresponding to two matrices that differ in exactly one row (or one column) is at most  $\alpha$ . We leave  $\alpha$  as a parameter now, but later we will see that we can take  $\alpha = \pi/2$ .

We leave the base case as an exercise. Here we prove the claim for  $\mathcal{U}_n$  assuming it holds for  $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ . The main important property of the permanent that we use in the proof is that permanent is a linear function of any single row or a column of the matrix and that it is invariant under permuting rows/columns.

Fix a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ; we can write

$$\operatorname{per}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{1,j} \operatorname{per}(A_j),$$

where  $A_j$  is the matrices obtained from A by removing the first row and the *j*-th column of A. Since  $A_j$  is a submatrix of A, we have  $A_j \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ . Now observe that any pair of matrices  $A_j, A_k$  differ in exactly one column (up to a permutation of columns). Therefore by induction hypothesis the angle between  $\operatorname{per}(A_j), \operatorname{per}(A_k)$  is at most  $\alpha$ . It follows form the following lemma that  $\sum_i A_{1,i} \operatorname{per}(A_i) \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 14.4.** Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}$  be nonzero such that the angle between any two vectors  $u_i, u_j$  is at most  $\alpha$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$ . For  $\delta_0 < \cos(\alpha/2)$  and any set of complex numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  such that  $|1 - a_i| \leq \delta_0$  for all i we have  $\sum_i a_i u_i \neq 0$ .

Note that for  $u_i = per(A_i)$  and  $a_i = A_{1,i}$  we get from the lemma that  $per(A) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ .

Claim 14.5.  $|u| \ge \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||u_i||.$ 

*Proof.* It turns out that all of these vectors lie in an angle at most  $\alpha$ . This simply follows from the fact that  $\alpha < 2\pi/3$ . Note that if  $\alpha = 2\pi/3$  then we could have three vectors with pairwise angle  $2\pi/3$ . Since  $\alpha < 2\pi/3$  we can see that the origin is not in the convex all of these vectors, and therefore they lie in an angle of size  $\alpha$ .



Now, project all vectors to the bisector of the angle; the projection of each  $u_i$  to the bisectors is at least  $\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} ||u_i||$ . Since these projections do not cancel out each other, the projection of u onto the bisector is at least  $\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_i ||u_i||$  which proves the claim.

Now, we are ready to finish the proof of the lemma. Let  $v = \sum_{i} a_{i} u_{i}$ . We use triangle inequality:

$$||v|| \geq ||u|| - ||v - u||$$
  
 
$$\geq \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||u_i|| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |1 - a_i| \cdot ||u_i||.$$
  
 
$$\geq (\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \delta_0) \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||u_i|| \neq 0,$$

where the second to last inequality uses that  $|1-a_i| \leq \delta_0$  and the last inequality uses that  $\delta_0 < \cos(\alpha/2)$ .  $\Box$ 

Now, we have proven part of the induction step; we know that for any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $\operatorname{per}(A) \neq 0$ . But to finish the proof we also need to show that for any pair of matrices  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$  that differ in one row (or column), the angle between  $\operatorname{per}(A)$ ,  $\operatorname{per}(B)$  is at most  $\alpha$ . Fix two matrices  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_n$  and assume that they only differ in their first row. Therefore, we can write:

$$\operatorname{per}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{1,i} \operatorname{per}(A_i),$$
$$\operatorname{per}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{1,i} \operatorname{per}(A_i).$$

We again use the proof strategy of Lemma 14.4; let u, v be the vectors defined in that lemma. It follows from the following simple fact that the angle between u, v is at most  $\arcsin \frac{\delta_0}{\cos \frac{\omega}{2}}$ .

**Fact 14.6.** For any two vectors x, y if ||x|| < ||y||, then the angle between y, x + y is at most  $\arcsin \frac{||x||}{||y||}$ .

By symmetry we can show that the angle between  $\sum_{i} B_{1,i} \operatorname{per}(A_i)$  and u is at most  $\arcsin \frac{\delta_0}{\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ . Therefore, the angle between  $\operatorname{per}(A)$ ,  $\operatorname{per}(B)$  is at most

$$2\arcsin\frac{\delta_0}{\cos\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

So, we only need that the above quantity is at most  $\alpha$ . So, letting  $\alpha = \pi/2$  and  $\delta_0 = 0.5$  is enough for our purpose. This completes the proof of Theorem 14.3.

## References

- [Bar16] A. Barvinok. Computing the permanent of (some) complex matrices, 2016. 14-1
- [Bar17] A. Barvinok. Approximating permanents of hafnians, 2017. 14-1
- [JSV04] Mark Jerrum, Alistair Sinclair, and Eric Vigoda. A polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanent of a matrix with nonnegative entries. J. ACM, 51(4):671–697, July 2004. 14-1